



**BANCO CENTRAL DO BRASIL**

Working Paper Series **230**

**Is Inflation Persistence Over?**

*Fernando N. de Oliveira and Myrian Petrassi*

December, 2010

ISSN 1518-3548  
CGC 00.038.166/0001-05

Working Paper Series	Brasília	n. 230	Dec.	2010	p. 1-39
----------------------	----------	--------	------	------	---------

# *Working Paper Series*

Edited by Research Department (Depep) – E-mail: [workingpaper@bcb.gov.br](mailto:workingpaper@bcb.gov.br)

Editor: Benjamin Miranda Tabak – E-mail: [benjamin.tabak@bcb.gov.br](mailto:benjamin.tabak@bcb.gov.br)

Editorial Assistant: Jane Sofia Moita – E-mail: [jane.sofia@bcb.gov.br](mailto:jane.sofia@bcb.gov.br)

Head of Research Department: Adriana Soares Sales – E-mail: [adriana.sales@bcb.gov.br](mailto:adriana.sales@bcb.gov.br)

The Banco Central do Brasil Working Papers are all evaluated in double blind referee process.

Reproduction is permitted only if source is stated as follows: Working Paper n. 230.

Authorized by Carlos Hamilton Vasconcelos Araújo, Deputy Governor for Economic Policy.

## **General Control of Publications**

Banco Central do Brasil

Secre/Surel/Cogiv

SBS – Quadra 3 – Bloco B – Edifício-Sede – 1º andar

Caixa Postal 8.670

70074-900 Brasília – DF – Brazil

Phones: +55 (61) 3414-3710 and 3414-3565

Fax: +55 (61) 3414-3626

E-mail: [editor@bcb.gov.br](mailto:editor@bcb.gov.br)

The views expressed in this work are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Banco Central or its members.

Although these Working Papers often represent preliminary work, citation of source is required when used or reproduced.

*As opiniões expressas neste trabalho são exclusivamente do(s) autor(es) e não refletem, necessariamente, a visão do Banco Central do Brasil.*

*Ainda que este artigo represente trabalho preliminar, é requerida a citação da fonte, mesmo quando reproduzido parcialmente.*

## **Consumer Complaints and Public Enquiries Center**

Banco Central do Brasil

Secre/Surel/Diate

SBS – Quadra 3 – Bloco B – Edifício-Sede – 2º subsolo

70074-900 Brasília – DF – Brazil

Fax: +55 (61) 3414-2553

Internet: <http://www.bcb.gov.br/?english>

# Is Inflation Persistence Over?

Fernando N. de Oliveira\*

Myrian Petrassi

## Abstract

*The Working Papers should not be reported as representing the views of the Banco Central do Brasil. The views expressed in the papers are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the Banco Central do Brasil.*

We analyze inflation persistence in several industrial and emerging countries in the recent past by estimating reduced-form models of inflation dynamics. We select a very representative group of 23 industrial and 17 emerging economies. Our sample period is comprised of quarterly data and starts in the first quarter of 1995. Our results show that inflation persistence is low and stable for all countries in our sample. It seems to be lower in industrial relative to emerging countries. Finally, even countries that have had “hyperinflation” experience in the recent past showed low levels of inflation persistence, albeit apparently higher than the other countries in our sample.

**Keywords:** Inflation, Persistence, Hyperinflation

**JEL Classification:** E3, E30, E31

---

\* Research Department, Banco Central do Brasil. E-mails: [fernando.nascimento@bcb.gov.br](mailto:fernando.nascimento@bcb.gov.br) and [myrian.petrassi@bcb.gov.br](mailto:myrian.petrassi@bcb.gov.br)

## 1 Introduction

One of the most important characteristics of the dynamics of inflation is its degree of persistence. It is related to how quickly inflation reverts to its initial level after a shock. As Mishkin (2007) points out, if inflation is persistent, it increases the costs of monetary policy (in terms of product or unemployment) to keep inflation under control.<sup>1</sup>

In the last years, both industrial and emerging economies have experienced important changes in the degree of their inflationary persistence. As Cecchetti et al show (2007) both the volatility and level of inflation has decreased in industrial economies. In these economies the decades of 1960 and 1970 were considered periods of high and persistent inflation, while the more recent decades, 1990 and 2000, have low levels of inflation as well as low persistence.

Contrary to industrial countries, emerging economies have experienced high levels of inflations for a longer period. Some of these countries, such as Brazil, Argentina, Bolivia, Peru, Mexico, Israel, Poland and Turkey, have had periods of “hyperinflation” in the last thirty years.<sup>2</sup> Only recently, in the decade of 1990, the levels of inflation have started to decrease in these countries. This, in part, is due to the important changes in the conduct of their macroeconomic policies.<sup>3</sup> However, it is not clear if the decrease of the level of inflation has been accompanied by a reduction of their inflationary persistence.<sup>4</sup>

Our objective in this paper is to analyze empirically the inflation persistence of several industrial and emerging countries in the recent past. We selected a very representative group of 23 industrial and 17 emerging economies. We want to answer the following questions: Is inflation persistence low for both industrial and emerging economies? Has

---

<sup>1</sup> In a more formal way, we can define inflation persistence as the propensity of inflation to converge slowly towards its long run equilibrium following a shock that has taken inflation away from this equilibrium.

<sup>2</sup> Sometimes is hard to define if an inflationary process experienced by a country is a “hyperinflation” episode. That is why we have decided to use it between commas.

<sup>3</sup> As examples of some macroeconomic policies we can list: inflation targeting adoption, reduction of budget deficits, improvement of financial regulation, trade liberalization and flexible exchange rate policies among others.

<sup>4</sup> See Stock and Watson (2003) for a brief analysis of monetary policy in some industrial countries in the last years.

persistence been stable throughout our sample period for all countries? Is inflation persistence in countries that have experienced “hyperinflation” in the recent past higher than the ones observed in the other countries?<sup>5</sup>

Our results show that inflation persistence is low and has been stable for both industrial and emerging economies in general. We observe that persistence seems to be lower in industrial economies relative to emerging ones. We also show that even economies that had experience “hyperinflation” in the near past have low inflation persistence nowadays, albeit apparently higher than the ones observed in the other countries of our sample. One explanation for this is that inflationary memory can be still alive amongst the economic agents.

To obtain our results we estimate several reduced form inflation dynamics. We estimate the following types of models: models with lags of inflation with and without GDP gap; new Keynesian Phillips curves with foreign exchange rates; and models that are reduced-form inflation dynamics of structural models that incorporate some form of wage rigidity in the spirit of Blanchard and Gali (2005). Our sample period starts in the first quarter of 1995. We have quarterly data and use headline consumer inflation as our measure of inflation.<sup>6</sup>

This finding of a low and stable persistence parameter for a great number of different countries may be somewhat surprising considering the obvious relevance of Lucas (1976) critique for our exercise. However, it is consistent with recent evidence for the United States as Rudebusch (2005) shows. Rudebusch estimates a new Keynesian Phillips curve to show that the parameters of reduced form regression will tend to be relatively stable even in the presence of realistic changes in monetary policy rules.

---

<sup>5</sup> Our sample of emerging economies is Argentina, Brazil, Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Israel, Korea, Mexico, Peru, Philippines, Poland, South Africa, Slovak Republic, Thailand, and Turkey. Our sample of industrial countries is: Austria, Australia, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, New Zealand, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom and United States.

<sup>6</sup> Various factors can explain persistence: persistence may be inherited from persistent fluctuations in the determinants of inflation, like marginal cost or output gap (this is called extrinsic persistence); the dependence of inflation on its own past, also called intrinsic persistence and persistence due to the formation of inflation expectations. Each one of this persistence can be associated with one of the three terms of a new Keynesian Phillips curve.

For many of the countries we considered, substantial shifts in monetary policy have occurred over the past two decades. In the case of European countries the introduction of the Euro is a very important milestone. In the case of emerging economies, we can cite more sound macroeconomic policies including, for many of them, the choice of inflation targeting as a framework for monetary policies. Therefore, one of our key approaches was to allow for the possibility of structural breaks in the inflation dynamics in order to avoid spurious estimates of degree of persistence.

We observe that there is very little instability in the parameters of inflation persistence for most of the economies we study. We did several tests of stability and also recursive least squares estimation. Our full sample estimation of the persistence parameter is in general far away from 1 and stable as the results from unknown break points are consistent with the null hypothesis of no change over time in the persistence of inflation. Overall, our results are in accordance with a stable reduced-form representation for inflation and a low level of inflation persistence.

Our results are consistent with a vast literature that shows that inflation persistence has decreased, such as: Dossche and Everaert (2005), Taylor (1999), Altissimo et al (2006), Benati (2008) and Batini (2002). Our paper, however, contributes to the literature by looking at a greater and more diversified group of countries, including several emerging ones, by considering a more recent period and by estimating various inflation dynamics specifications.

Other papers look at how inflation persistence has evolved over a longer period of time also estimating reduced form inflation processes. For example, Mishkin (2007) studies inflation persistence in the United States in the last 40 years using auto regressive models and decomposing inflation in cycle and trend as in Stock and Watson (2006). Mishkin confirms the results of Stock and Watson (2006), showing that inflation persistence is decreasing worldwide since the 1990s, compared with persistence observed in the 1960 and 1970s.<sup>7</sup>

---

<sup>7</sup> Stock and Watson (2006) show the inflation dynamic in the United States is well described by several latent factors, such as cycle and trend, both with stochastic volatility. Cycle is a stationary process while trend is non-stationary. Inflation persistence is described as a trend. The authors show that persistence in inflation has decrease substantially in the United States in the last decade.

Nason (2006) describes the dynamics of inflation in the United States with several different models of inflation and confirms the results of Mishkin (2007) and Stock and Watson (2006) that inflation persistence is decreasing in the United States in the last years. Rudd and Whelan (2005) estimate a new Keynesian hybrid Phillips curve with lags in inflation and show that inflation in the United States is much more forward looking than backward looking, that shows that inflation persistence is decreasing. Fuhrer (2005) also models inflation using a hybrid Keynesian Phillips curve. He separates persistence in two types: one related to the dynamics of the output gap and the other to marginal cost and that depends on lags of inflation. Fuhrer shows that the more relevant part of inflation in the last years is due to intrinsic inflation and not to output gap.

An important explanatory factor behind this low level and stability of inflation persistence in the recent past is the anchoring of inflation expectations of economic agents. By conducting monetary policies such that inflation expectations of economic agents are anchored, the central banks can ensure that actual inflation does not deviate for too long and in a very persistent way from its medium term objective. We believe that long-term inflation expectations have been successively anchored in the recent years and, as a result, inflation expectations are much less dependent on past inflation. Also, actual inflation developments are less persistent.

The rest of the paper is the following. Section 2 describes the data. Section 3 presents the empirical analysis. Section 4 concludes.

## **2. Data**

Our data is quarterly and starts in the first quarter of 1995. It is composed by 40 countries: 23 industrial and 17 emerging. Our data source was International Financial Statistics from International Monetary Fund. Our measure of inflation is headline CPI inflation. We also use as exogenous the following variables: the nominal foreign exchange rate and the GDP gap, that is the difference between nominal GDP and potential GDP obtained through Hodrick-Prescott filtering.

For the purpose of our analysis, we separate our sample of countries in three groups: one group is comprised of industrial countries (Austria, Australia, Belgium, Canada,



Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, New Zealand, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom and United States), emerging countries that did not experienced “hyperinflation” in the recent past (Chile, Colombia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Korea, Philippines, South Africa, Slovak Republic and Thailand), and emerging economies that have had “hyperinflation”, such as Argentina, Brazil, Bolivia, Peru, Mexico, Turkey, Israel and Poland.

Table 1 shows descriptive statistics of inflation for our economies: emerging (total), emerging with “hyperinflation” experience and industrial economies. We can see that average quarterly inflation in emerging market (EM) economies was 1.84% and average standard deviation was 0.018. As far as GDP gap is concerned, average GDP gap is -0.14%.

Table 1 column 2 shows descriptive statistics of inflation for the group of emerging economies that has had some “hyperinflation” episode in the last thirty years. We can see that average inflation was 2.32% and average standard deviation was 0.022. Average output gap was -0.30% in this subgroup. Column 3 shows us that in non-emerging countries of our sample, average inflation was only 0.54%. Average output gap, in other hand, was greater: -0.01%.

It is clear from Table 1 that inflation is higher in emerging economies that have had “hyperinflation” in the recent past. The average inflation in these economies was 1 pp. higher than average inflation in the emerging economies that did not experience “hyperinflation” and 1.78 pp. higher than industrial economies that also did not experience “hyperinflation”. Not only average, but also volatility is much higher than non-emerging countries and also non-hyperinflation ones.

In the next section, we will present our empirical analysis based on the estimation of reduced form inflation dynamics for these groups of countries.

### 3. Empirical Analysis

#### 3.1 Traditional Models of Inflation

The overall degree of inflation persistence can be measured in several ways. The results reported in this section are based on the methods that are most frequently used in the literature. In order to show how fast inflation returns back to its mean following a disturbance, or its persistence, we measure the dependence of inflation on its past values.

The most obvious way of measuring inflation persistence is to regress inflation on several of its lags as in equation (1) and then calculate the sum of coefficients on lagged inflation. If the sum of coefficients is close to 1, then shocks to inflation have long lived effects on inflation. The higher the sum of the coefficients of inflation lags, the longer it takes for inflation to return back to its mean. In other words, inflation behaves like a random walk so that when inflation goes up it stays up. If the sum of coefficients is well below 1 then a shock to inflation has only temporary effect on inflation and inflation soon reverts to its trend level.

$$(1) \pi_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \pi_{t-1} + \sum_{k=2}^L \phi_k \pi_{t-k} + \varepsilon_t, E[\varepsilon_t] = 0, \text{var}(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2,$$

where  $\pi_t$  is headline consumer inflation .

To the extent that lagged inflation captures true persistence in the price setting process the model implies that rapid reductions of inflation can only be produced at the cost of substantial increase in unemployment or decrease in product. Hence, the model points to a gradualist approach as providing the best way to effect a large reduction in inflation.

An equivalent approach for analyzing persistence (and the one we will follow in this paper) is to estimate  $\rho$  in equation (2) as O'Reilly and Whelan (2005) show.

$$(2) \pi_t = \beta_0 + \rho \pi_{t-1} + \sum_{k=2}^L \phi_k \Delta \pi_{t-k} + \varepsilon_t, E[\varepsilon_t] = 0, \text{var}(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2.$$

There are a number of good reasons for focusing on  $\rho$  as our main measure of inflation persistence. For example, in this model,  $\rho$  is a crucial determinant of the response to shocks over time. It can also be shown that  $1/(1-\rho)$  gives the infinite-horizon cumulative impulse response to shocks. Moreover, an advantage of focusing on the estimate of  $\rho$  rather than on sum of coefficients is that the first one remains pertinent even when the underlying process contains a unit root or is explosive.

We chose the number of lags of first difference of headline consumer inflation in (2) so as the residuals do not present serial correlation, using LM test to identify serial correlation. We also checked for heteroskedasticity with White and Breush-Pagan. If there is evidence of heteroskedasticity, we correct it with the Newey-West robust errors. We did a Wald test of  $\rho=1$  for all estimations of the traditional models and we rejected  $\rho=1$  for all estimations. We also compared the average of the persistence coefficient of inflation of the three groups by doing Wald tests in a system of equations estimated with OLS in which each equation is the same one we estimated individually.

It is also crucial from an econometric point of view to allow for structural breaks in the dynamics of inflation. Otherwise, we could be estimating biased and inconsistent coefficients. We test for breaks using different methods, such as Andrews-Quandt and Chow. We found indication of structural breaks for some countries: Argentina, Austria, Greece and Poland. We then choose some possible breakpoints with the Chow test. We changed the specification including dummies as regressors or interacting them with the lag of inflation regressors. Our results did not change with these new specifications.

In Graph 1, we show the recursive least squares estimations for the inflation persistence coefficient ( $\rho$ ) in (2): emerging countries that have had high inflation episodes and those that had not and 10 (from 23) industrial countries. Inflation persistence for all countries seems to be stable, especially after 2003. Emerging countries appear to have inflation persistence that fluctuates around 0.5 while industrial countries persistence appears to fluctuate around zero.

Table 2 (column 1) shows the estimated  $\rho$  for this specification. The average persistence coefficient was 0.15 and Turkey has the largest one: 0.879. Emerging market economies

seem to have, on average, greater coefficients: 0.45 compared to -0.07 of the industrial economies. Also, “hyperinflation” EM countries seem to have even larger persistence. Considering only these countries increases persistence coefficient to 0.59. The average persistence of EM economies that do not experience hyperinflation also seems to be greater than industrial countries (0.33 compared to -0.07).<sup>8</sup>

We repeated the estimation above including in equation (2) the output gap calculated using Hodrick-Prescot filter.<sup>9</sup> The results are very similar to the ones described above (see Table 2 column 2). Again, economies that had “hyperinflation” episodes in the recent past showed greater average as well as greater volatility of inflation persistence.

If inflation has indeed become less persistent because monetary policy has anchored inflation expectations more solidly the monetary authorities may find they have less need to induce large swings in economic activity to control inflation. This is a key benefit of establishing a strong nominal anchor. If this is correct, cyclical movements in interest rates need not be as great as it was necessary when expectations are anchored. To try to capture this possibility we will estimate in the following section new Keynesian models of inflation that incorporate inflation expectations.

### **3.2 New Keynesian Models Estimation**

The most important implication of the pure new Keynesian model of inflation is that there is no intrinsic persistence in inflation in the sense that there is no structural dependence of inflation on its own lagged values. Instead, inflation is determined in a completely forward-looking manner. One implication of this model in contrast to traditional ones is that it is much easier to quickly reduce inflation in this model than in the traditional one. In fact, according to the new Keynesian model, inflation can be costless controlled by a credible commitment to keep output close to its potential.

---

<sup>8</sup> We compared the average of persistence coefficient of the three groups by doing Wald tests in a system of equations estimated with OLS in which each equation is the same one we estimated individually.

<sup>9</sup> Again, we tested for serial correlation, heteroskedasticity, structural breaks and compared the statistical differences of averages of the inflation persistence coefficient of different groups. We did once more a Wald test of  $\rho=1$  for all estimations of the traditional models with GDP gap. We rejected  $\rho=1$  for all estimations.

It has been shown that in economies where central banks have adopted explicit inflation objectives, long-term inflation expectations are not related to past inflation. In this context, central bank transparency is crucial. In such a setting, agents will try to disentangle persistent shifts in inflation objective from transitory disturbances and will manage to do so more quickly the more transparent and credible the central bank is.<sup>10</sup>

Due to the difficulty of fitting the data with new Keynesian pure forward-looking model, a vast literature that incorporates lags of inflation in the new Keynesian Phillips curve (NKPC) has emerged<sup>11</sup>. For many, this class of models represents a sort of common-sense middle ground that preserves the insights of standard rational expectations models while allowing for better empirical fit by dealing directly with a well known deficiency of the pure forward looking model of inflation. As a result this class of models has been widely used in applied monetary policy analysis.

The structural equation for inflation that we estimate is in the spirit of hybrid new Keynesian Phillips curve as in (3). These models add a dependence of inflation on its lagged values to otherwise purely forward looking models. Such models are often considered as a compromise between the need for rigorous micro foundations of the sort underlying the pure new-Keynesian Phillips curve and the need to fit the data empirically.

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_t &= \rho \pi_{t-1} + (1 - \rho) E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + \beta_2 h_{t-1} + \gamma X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \\ E[\varepsilon_t] &= 0, \text{ var}(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \end{aligned} \quad \text{where } h_t \text{ is}$$

output gap and  $X_t$  is foreign exchange rate.

The parameter that measures inflation persistence is  $\rho$ . We estimated equation (3) above, using lags of consumer headline inflation as instruments. We also checked for serial correlation with LM test and for heteroskedasticity with White test. In the presence of serial correlation, we included more lags of regressors, until there is no more evidence of serial correlation. In the presence of heteroskedasticity, we corrected

---

<sup>10</sup> The most popular formulation of the new Keynesian framework is based on Calvo (1983) model of price random adjustment. The model assumes that in each period a random fraction of firms reset their price while all other firms keep their prices unchanged. Calvo assumes an imperfectly competitive market structure as well. These two hypotheses generate the basic new Keynesian model of inflation.

<sup>11</sup> See Fuhrer and Moore (1995), Gali and Gertler (1999) and Christiano et al (2005) for some theoretical models that justify the inclusion of lags of inflation in the new Keynesian Phillips curves.

with Newey-West robust matrix. We, again, compared the statistical differences of averages of the inflation persistence coefficient of different groups.

Table 2 column 3 shows the estimated  $\rho$ . The average persistence estimator was 0.009, and the standard deviation was 0.43. The country with the highest average was Hungary while the one with the lowest was Portugal. Considering our 3 groups, emerging economies that did not experience “hyperinflation” had an average persistence estimator of 0.03. Within the industrial economies group, the average coefficient was -0.095. The country with the highest persistence was Italy.

Considering countries that experienced “hyperinflation”, the highest persistence was from Poland (0.62) while the one with the lowest was Turkey (-0.18). The average of this group was 0.26.

In Graph 2, we show the recursive least squares estimations for the inflation persistence coefficient ( $\rho$ ) in NKPC. Again, emerging market economies appear to have higher levels of persistence. And countries that had a “hyperinflation” history have an even higher persistence level.

As we can see these results do not differ from the estimation of the more traditional models presented in section 3.1. Once again, higher inflation in the past implies higher persistence of inflation in the present.

In the next section, we will include wage rigidity in the new Keynesian framework in line with Blanchard and Gali (2005). The objective is to see if there is a change in estimated persistence due to these rigidities.

### **3.3 New Keynesian Models Estimation with Wage Rigidities**

Blanchard and Gali (2005) incorporate wage rigidities in the structural model of inflation. The reduced form equation that results from their structural model is equation (4) below. Note that this equation is very similar to the hybrid new Keynesian Phillips curves specification used in many empirical and policy analysis applications and that

allows for both backward looking and forward looking inflation terms (with coefficients whose sum is close to one). In our model the relative weight of lagged inflation is tightly linked to the degree of real wage rigidities. The novelty is the inclusion of the first difference of lagged output gap. This is the result of wage rigidity and makes the divine coincidence - where the central bank stabilizing inflation also stabilizes the welfare relevant gap - not possible anymore. The  $\rho$  coefficient continues to measure inflation persistence. Gali and Blanchard show that this coefficient is an increasing function of wage rigidity.

$$(4) \pi_t = \rho\pi_{t-1} + (1 - \rho)E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + \beta_1 h_{t-1} + \beta_2 \Delta h_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, E[\varepsilon_t] = 0, \text{var}(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2$$

Table 2 (column 4) shows the estimated  $\rho$  for this specification. The average persistence coefficient was 0.16 and Netherlands has the highest one: 1.124. Emerging market economies that experienced hyperinflation in the recent past seem to have greater coefficients on average: 0.289 compared to 0.134 of the industrial economies.

For all estimations we tested for structural breaks using Andrews-Quandt Chow and recursive least squares. No breaks were observed in the estimated processes. We did once more a Wald test of  $\rho=1$  for all estimations. We rejected  $\rho=1$  for almost all economies with the exception of Netherlands. We, again, compared the statistical differences of averages of the inflation persistence coefficient of different groups with a system of equations approach.

Another implication of Blanchard and Gali (2005) model is the relation between inflation and unemployment as in equation (5). As Mishkin (2007) points out when researchers estimate this equation they typically find that the coefficients on the unemployment gap have declined in the absolute value since the 1980s often by a marked amount. In other word, the evidence suggests that the Phillips curve has flattened.

$$(5) \pi_t = \rho\pi_{t-1} + (1 - \rho)E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + \beta_1 u_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, E[\varepsilon_t] = 0, \text{var}(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2$$

Table 2 (column 5) shows the estimated  $\rho$  for this specification. The average persistence coefficient was 0.246 and Spain has the highest one: 2.921. Emerging market

economies that experienced hyperinflation in the recent past once again seem to have greater coefficients on average: 0.453 compared to 0.23 of the industrial economies.

For all estimations we test for structural breaks using Andrews-Quandt, Chow and recursive least squares. We did not observe any break in any of the processes we estimated. Wald tests rejected  $\rho=1$  for almost all economies with the exception of Ireland and Spain.

As we can see, once again the results for both models of wage rigidities are similar to the ones of traditional and hybrid new Keynesian models. There is clear evidence that persistence is higher and more volatile in emerging economies that had “hyperinflation” than in the rest of our sample.

#### **4. Conclusion**

We analyzed inflation persistence in several industrial and emerging countries in the recent past by estimating various reduced-form models of inflation. Our results show that inflation persistence is low and stable, albeit lower for the former than for the latter. We also show that even countries that experienced “hyperinflation” in the recent past showed low levels of persistence but still have higher levels than the other countries in our sample. Overall, our results are consistent with a stable reduced-form representation for inflation and a low level of inflation persistence worldwide.

In interpreting our results, we must first recognize that all of them are based on reduced-form relationships. Thus, they are about correlations and not necessarily about true structural relationships. Explanatory variables in our inflation estimations are themselves influenced by changes in economic conditions. So, changes in the underlying monetary policy regime are likely to be a source changes in reduced-form inflation dynamics. This problem is especially acute for structural relationship involving expectations or other factors that are not directly observable and so cannot be included in reduced form regressions. In such cases, we cannot use the reduced form equations to disentangle the effects of such unobserved factors which themselves may be driven by changes in monetary policy from that of other influences.



Mishkin (2007) makes it clear that inflation expectations must be a key driving force behind inflation. This dependence has long been implicit in traditional Phillips curve analysis but now expectations are explicit and are also a central feature of new Keynesian Phillips curves in which current period inflation is a function of expectations next period and output gap.

Anchoring of inflation expectations must be related to monetary policy. During the past years most central banks have increased their commitment to price stability in both words and action. The Federal Reserve, the European Central Bank and several central banks of emerging economies have been committed to keep inflation under control. The result has been low and stable inflations but also, as we report in this paper, low and stable inflation persistence.

The pursuit of more aggressive monetary policy to control inflation and the achievement of anchored inflation help explain in part our results. With expectations of inflation anchored the sacrifice ratio becomes lower and monetary policy much more effective to improve the welfare of the economy.

## References

- Altissimo, Filippo, Ehrmann, Michael and Smets, Frank (2006). "Inflation Persistence and Price-Setting Behavior in the Euro Area. A Summary of the IPN Evidence." European Central Bank. Occasional Paper Series, No. 46, June.
- Batini, N. "Euro Area Inflation Persistence"(2002). ECB Working paper No 201.
- Benati, Luca. "Investigating Inflation Persistence Across Monetary Regimes"(2008). Quarterly Journal of Economics, 123, No 3, 1005-1060.
- Blanchard, Olivier and Gali, Jordi (2005). "Real Wage Rigidities and the New Keynesian Model". Conference on Quantitative Evidence of Price Determination, Washington D.C., September, 29-30.
- Calvo, Guilherme. "Staggered Prices in a Utility Maximizing Framework" (1983). Journal of Monetary Economics, 12, 383-398.
- Cechetti, G. Stephen and Hooper, Peter and Kasman, C. Bruce and Schoenholtz, L. Kermit and Watson, W. Mark (2007). "Understanding the Evolving Inflation Process". U.S. Monetary Policy Forum, Brandeis University, February.
- Christiano, Lawrence, Eichenbaum, Martin and Charles, Evans (2005). "Nominal Rigidities and the Dynamics Effects of Shocks to Monetary Policy". Journal of Political Economy, 113, 1-45.
- Dossche, M. and Everaert, G. (2005). "Measuring Inflation Persistence. A Structural Time Series Approach". ECB Working Paper No 495.
- Fuhrer, C. Jeffrey (2005). "Intrinsic and Inherited Inflation Persistence". Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, Working Paper Series Federal No 05.
- , Moore, George (1995). "Inflation Persistence". Quarterly Journal of Economics, 110, 127-159.
- Gali, Jordi, Gertler, Mark and Lopes-Salido, David (2001). "European Inflation Dynamics". European Economic Review, 45, 1237-1270.
- and Gertler, Mark (1999). "A Structural Econometric Analysis". Journal of Monetary Economics, 44, 195-222.
- Lucas, Robert (1976). "Econometric Policy Evaluation: A Critique". Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy, 1, 19-46.
- Mishkin, S. Frederick (2007). "Inflation Dynamics". Working Paper Series National Bureau of Economic Research, NBER, No 13147.
- Nason, M. Jason (2006). "Instability in U.S. Inflation: 1967-2005". Working Paper Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.
- Rudd, Jeremy and Whelan, Karl (2005). "Modelling Inflation Dynamics: A Critical Review of Recent Research". Working Paper Series Federal Reserve Board.
- Rudebusch, Glenn (2005). "Assessing the Lucas Critique in Monetary Policy Models". Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 37, 245-272.
- Stock, H. James and Watson, W. Mark (2006). "Why Has U.S. Inflation Become Harder to Forecast?" Working Paper Series National Bureau of Economic Research, NBER, no 12324.

------(2003). “Has the Business Cycle Changed? Evidence and Explanations”. Federal Reserve of Kansas City Symposium on Monetary Policy and Uncertainty, August, 28-30.

Taylor, J (1999). “Staggered Price and Wage Setting in Macroeconomic”. Taylor and Woodford (Editors), Handbook of Macroeconomics, vol 1.b. North-Holland.

O’Reilly, Gerald and Whelan, Karl (2005). “Has Euro-Area Inflation Persistence Changed Over Time?”. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 87(4), 709-720, November.

**Table 1: Descriptive Statistics – Inflation and Output Gap**

	Emerging Market Countries		Non - Emerging Market Countries
	Total	"Hyperinflation" Experience	Total
Average Inflation	1.84%	2.32%	0.54%
Average Standard Deviation	0.018	0.022	0.006
Maximum Inflation	19.43%	19.43%	5.55%
Minimum Inflation	-4.10%	-1.42%	-3.99%
Average Output Gap	-0.14%	-0.30%	-0.01%


Source IMF

**Table 2: Estimated Inflation Persistence Parameters**


	Equation (2)	Equation (2)	Equation (3)	Equation (4)	Equation (5)
	AR(p)	Including Output Gap	NKPC	NKPC including Output Gap (-1)	Gali and Gertler -With Unemployment
Argentina	0.479	0.428	0.296	0.412	0.539
Austria	0.123	(0.149)	(0.018)	0.146	(0.102)
Australia	0.250	0.282	0.018	0.125	0.065
Belgium	(0.265)	(0.397)	0.198	(0.051)	0.118
Bolivia	0.581	0.596	(0.026)	0.070	-
Brazil	0.416	0.426	0.441	0.509	0.503
Canada	(0.805)	(0.883)	0.021	0.067	0.157
Chile	0.435	0.288	0.073	0.101	-
Colombia	0.825	0.782	0.408	0.481	0.445
Czech Republic	0.404	0.508	(0.079)	(0.221)	0.124
Denmark	(0.199)	(0.184)	0.147	0.140	0.229
Finland	(0.038)	(0.095)	(0.126)	0.600	0.050
France	(0.250)	(0.357)	0.147	(0.150)	0.317
Germany	0.068	(0.057)	(0.188)	0.148	0.036
Greece	0.393	(1.431)	-	-	-
Hungary	0.657	0.656	0.641	0.596	0.714
Iceland	0.577	0.568	(0.387)	(0.270)	-
Ireland	0.297	0.141	-	-	0.601
Israel	0.366	0.381	0.158	(0.071)	-
Italy	0.095	(0.046)	0.481	0.399	-
Japan	(0.343)	(0.473)	0.370	0.292	0.323
Korea	(0.284)	(0.416)	(1.008)	(0.464)	(0.641)
Luxembourg	0.177	0.068	(0.049)	0.406	0.036
Mexico	0.704	0.783	0.534	0.455	-
Netherlands	0.409	(0.082)	(0.523)	1.124	(0.739)
Norway	(0.504)	(0.599)	0.173	0.119	0.187
New Zealand	0.319	0.123	0.260	0.347	0.263
Peru	0.601	0.617	0.229	0.245	-
Phillipines	0.136	0.183	0.158	0.138	-
Poland	0.661	0.659	0.616	0.591	0.547
Portugal	(0.340)	(0.101)	(1.058)	(0.397)	-
South Africa	0.290	(0.071)	(0.099)	(0.134)	0.397
Slovak Republic	0.347	0.007	(0.127)	(0.116)	(0.180)
Spain	(0.385)	(0.394)	(0.906)	0.103	2.921
Sweden	0.115	(0.040)	0.045	0.112	(0.304)
Switzerland	(0.383)	(0.436)	(0.934)	(0.834)	(0.396)
Thailand	0.134	(0.263)	0.316	0.312	0.350
Turkey	0.879	0.864	(0.177)	0.100	0.224
United Kingdom	(0.110)	(0.250)	0.170	0.224	0.437
United States	(0.817)	(1.337)	0.166	0.154	0.172

**Graph 1 Stability of Persistence Parameters Modeling Inflation as an ARMA Process**


Emerging Economies – with “hyperinflation”



Emerging Economies – without “hyperinflation”




### Industrial Economies




**Graph 2 – Stability of Persistence Parameters Modeling Inflation as a New Keynesian Phillips Curve**


Emerging Economies – with “hyperinflation”



### Emerging Economies – without “hyperinflation”



### Industrial Economies





# Banco Central do Brasil

## Trabalhos para Discussão

*Os Trabalhos para Discussão podem ser acessados na internet, no formato PDF, no endereço: <http://www.bc.gov.br>*

## Working Paper Series

*Working Papers in PDF format can be downloaded from: <http://www.bc.gov.br>*

- |    |   |          |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | <b>Implementing Inflation Targeting in Brazil</b><br><i>Joel Bogdanski, Alexandre Antonio Tombini and Sérgio Ribeiro da Costa Werlang</i>   | Jul/2000 |
| 2  | <b>Política Monetária e Supervisão do Sistema Financeiro Nacional no Banco Central do Brasil</b><br><i>Eduardo Lundberg</i>   | Jul/2000 |
|    | <b>Monetary Policy and Banking Supervision Functions on the Central Bank</b><br><i>Eduardo Lundberg</i>   | Jul/2000 |
| 3  | <b>Private Sector Participation: a Theoretical Justification of the Brazilian Position</b><br><i>Sérgio Ribeiro da Costa Werlang</i>  | Jul/2000 |
| 4  | <b>An Information Theory Approach to the Aggregation of Log-Linear Models</b><br><i>Pedro H. Albuquerque</i>  | Jul/2000 |
| 5  | <b>The Pass-Through from Depreciation to Inflation: a Panel Study</b><br><i>Ilan Goldfajn and Sérgio Ribeiro da Costa Werlang</i>   | Jul/2000 |
| 6  | <b>Optimal Interest Rate Rules in Inflation Targeting Frameworks</b><br><i>José Alvaro Rodrigues Neto, Fabio Araújo and Marta Baltar J. Moreira</i>   | Jul/2000 |
| 7  | <b>Leading Indicators of Inflation for Brazil</b><br><i>Marcelle Chauvet</i>  | Sep/2000 |
| 8  | <b>The Correlation Matrix of the Brazilian Central Bank's Standard Model for Interest Rate Market Risk</b><br><i>José Alvaro Rodrigues Neto</i>   | Sep/2000 |
| 9  | <b>Estimating Exchange Market Pressure and Intervention Activity</b><br><i>Emanuel-Werner Kohlscheen</i>  | Nov/2000 |
| 10 | <b>Análise do Financiamento Externo a uma Pequena Economia<br/>Aplicação da Teoria do Prêmio Monetário ao Caso Brasileiro: 1991–1998</b><br><i>Carlos Hamilton Vasconcelos Araújo e Renato Galvão Flôres Júnior</i> | Mar/2001 |
| 11 | <b>A Note on the Efficient Estimation of Inflation in Brazil</b><br><i>Michael F. Bryan and Stephen G. Cecchetti</i>  | Mar/2001 |
| 12 | <b>A Test of Competition in Brazilian Banking</b><br><i>Márcio I. Nakane</i>  | Mar/2001 |

- 13 **Modelos de Previsão de Insolvência Bancária no Brasil** Mar/2001  
*Marcio Magalhães Janot*
- 14 **Evaluating Core Inflation Measures for Brazil** Mar/2001  
*Francisco Marcos Rodrigues Figueiredo*
- 15 **Is It Worth Tracking Dollar/Real Implied Volatility?** Mar/2001  
*Sandro Canesso de Andrade and Benjamin Miranda Tabak*
- 16 **Avaliação das Projeções do Modelo Estrutural do Banco Central do Brasil para a Taxa de Variação do IPCA** Mar/2001  
*Sergio Afonso Lago Alves*
- Evaluation of the Central Bank of Brazil Structural Model's Inflation Forecasts in an Inflation Targeting Framework** Jul/2001  
*Sergio Afonso Lago Alves*
- 17 **Estimando o Produto Potencial Brasileiro: uma Abordagem de Função de Produção** Abr/2001  
*Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva Filho*
- Estimating Brazilian Potential Output: a Production Function Approach** Aug/2002  
*Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva Filho*
- 18 **A Simple Model for Inflation Targeting in Brazil** Apr/2001  
*Paulo Springer de Freitas and Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos*
- 19 **Uncovered Interest Parity with Fundamentals: a Brazilian Exchange Rate Forecast Model** May/2001  
*Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos, Paulo Springer de Freitas and Fabio Araújo*
- 20 **Credit Channel without the LM Curve** May/2001  
*Victorio Y. T. Chu and Márcio I. Nakane*
- 21 **Os Impactos Econômicos da CPMF: Teoria e Evidência** Jun/2001  
*Pedro H. Albuquerque*
- 22 **Decentralized Portfolio Management** Jun/2001  
*Paulo Coutinho and Benjamin Miranda Tabak*
- 23 **Os Efeitos da CPMF sobre a Intermediação Financeira** Jul/2001  
*Sérgio Mikio Koyama e Márcio I. Nakane*
- 24 **Inflation Targeting in Brazil: Shocks, Backward-Looking Prices, and IMF Conditionality** Aug/2001  
*Joel Bogdanski, Paulo Springer de Freitas, Ilan Goldfajn and Alexandre Antonio Tombini*
- 25 **Inflation Targeting in Brazil: Reviewing Two Years of Monetary Policy 1999/00** Aug/2001  
*Pedro Fachada*
- 26 **Inflation Targeting in an Open Financially Integrated Emerging Economy: the Case of Brazil** Aug/2001  
*Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos*
- 27 **Complementaridade e Fungibilidade dos Fluxos de Capitais Internacionais** Set/2001  
*Carlos Hamilton Vasconcelos Araújo e Renato Galvão Flôres Júnior*

- 28 **Regras Monetárias e Dinâmica Macroeconômica no Brasil: uma Abordagem de Expectativas Racionais** Nov/2001  
*Marco Antonio Bonomo e Ricardo D. Brito*
- 29 **Using a Money Demand Model to Evaluate Monetary Policies in Brazil** Nov/2001  
*Pedro H. Albuquerque and Solange Gouvêa*
- 30 **Testing the Expectations Hypothesis in the Brazilian Term Structure of Interest Rates** Nov/2001  
*Benjamin Miranda Tabak and Sandro Canesso de Andrade*
- 31 **Algumas Considerações sobre a Sazonalidade no IPCA** Nov/2001  
*Francisco Marcos R. Figueiredo e Roberta Blass Staub*
- 32 **Crises Cambiais e Ataques Especulativos no Brasil** Nov/2001  
*Mauro Costa Miranda*
- 33 **Monetary Policy and Inflation in Brazil (1975-2000): a VAR Estimation** Nov/2001  
*André Minella*
- 34 **Constrained Discretion and Collective Action Problems: Reflections on the Resolution of International Financial Crises** Nov/2001  
*Arminio Fraga and Daniel Luiz Gleizer*
- 35 **Uma Definição Operacional de Estabilidade de Preços** Dez/2001  
*Tio Nícias Teixeira da Silva Filho*
- 36 **Can Emerging Markets Float? Should They Inflation Target?** Feb/2002  
*Barry Eichengreen*
- 37 **Monetary Policy in Brazil: Remarks on the Inflation Targeting Regime, Public Debt Management and Open Market Operations** Mar/2002  
*Luiz Fernando Figueiredo, Pedro Fachada and Sérgio Goldenstein*
- 38 **Volatilidade Implícita e Antecipação de Eventos de Stress: um Teste para o Mercado Brasileiro** Mar/2002  
*Frederico Pechir Gomes*
- 39 **Opções sobre Dólar Comercial e Expectativas a Respeito do Comportamento da Taxa de Câmbio** Mar/2002  
*Paulo Castor de Castro*
- 40 **Speculative Attacks on Debts, Dollarization and Optimum Currency Areas** Apr/2002  
*Aloisio Araujo and Márcia Leon*
- 41 **Mudanças de Regime no Câmbio Brasileiro** Jun/2002  
*Carlos Hamilton V. Araújo e Getúlio B. da Silveira Filho*
- 42 **Modelo Estrutural com Setor Externo: Endogenização do Prêmio de Risco e do Câmbio** Jun/2002  
*Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos, Sérgio Afonso Lago Alves e Gil Riella*
- 43 **The Effects of the Brazilian ADRs Program on Domestic Market Efficiency** Jun/2002  
*Benjamin Miranda Tabak and Eduardo José Araújo Lima*

<b>44</b>	<b>Estrutura Competitiva, Produtividade Industrial e Liberação Comercial no Brasil</b> <i>Pedro Cavalcanti Ferreira e Osmani Teixeira de Carvalho Guillén</i>	Jun/2002
<b>45</b>	<b>Optimal Monetary Policy, Gains from Commitment, and Inflation Persistence</b> <i>André Minella</i>	Aug/2002
<b>46</b>	<b>The Determinants of Bank Interest Spread in Brazil</b> <i>Tarsila Segalla Afanasieff, Priscilla Maria Villa Lhacer and Márcio I. Nakane</i>	Aug/2002
<b>47</b>	<b>Indicadores Derivados de Agregados Monetários</b> <i>Fernando de Aquino Fonseca Neto e José Albuquerque Júnior</i>	Set/2002
<b>48</b>	<b>Should Government Smooth Exchange Rate Risk?</b> <i>Ilan Goldfajn and Marcos Antonio Silveira</i>	Sep/2002
<b>49</b>	<b>Desenvolvimento do Sistema Financeiro e Crescimento Econômico no Brasil: Evidências de Causalidade</b> <i>Orlando Carneiro de Matos</i>	Set/2002
<b>50</b>	<b>Macroeconomic Coordination and Inflation Targeting in a Two-Country Model</b> <i>Eui Jung Chang, Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos and Joaúlio Rodolpho Teixeira</i>	Sep/2002
<b>51</b>	<b>Credit Channel with Sovereign Credit Risk: an Empirical Test</b> <i>Victorio Yi Tson Chu</i>	Sep/2002
<b>52</b>	<b>Generalized Hyperbolic Distributions and Brazilian Data</b> <i>José Fajardo and Aquiles Farias</i>	Sep/2002
<b>53</b>	<b>Inflation Targeting in Brazil: Lessons and Challenges</b> <i>André Minella, Paulo Springer de Freitas, Ilan Goldfajn and Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos</i>	Nov/2002
<b>54</b>	<b>Stock Returns and Volatility</b> <i>Benjamin Miranda Tabak and Solange Maria Guerra</i>	Nov/2002
<b>55</b>	<b>Componentes de Curto e Longo Prazo das Taxas de Juros no Brasil</b> <i>Carlos Hamilton Vasconcelos Araújo e Osmani Teixeira de Carvalho de Guillén</i>	Nov/2002
<b>56</b>	<b>Causality and Cointegration in Stock Markets: the Case of Latin America</b> <i>Benjamin Miranda Tabak and Eduardo José Araújo Lima</i>	Dec/2002
<b>57</b>	<b>As Leis de Falência: uma Abordagem Econômica</b> <i>Aloisio Araujo</i>	Dez/2002
<b>58</b>	<b>The Random Walk Hypothesis and the Behavior of Foreign Capital Portfolio Flows: the Brazilian Stock Market Case</b> <i>Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Dec/2002
<b>59</b>	<b>Os Preços Administrados e a Inflação no Brasil</b> <i>Francisco Marcos R. Figueiredo e Thaís Porto Ferreira</i>	Dez/2002
<b>60</b>	<b>Delegated Portfolio Management</b> <i>Paulo Coutinho and Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Dec/2002

<b>61</b>	<b>O Uso de Dados de Alta Frequência na Estimação da Volatilidade e do Valor em Risco para o Ibovespa</b> <i>João Maurício de Souza Moreira e Eduardo Facó Lemgruber</i>	Dez/2002
<b>62</b>	<b>Taxa de Juros e Concentração Bancária no Brasil</b> <i>Eduardo Kiyoshi Tonooka e Sérgio Mikio Koyama</i>	Fev/2003
<b>63</b>	<b>Optimal Monetary Rules: the Case of Brazil</b> <i>Charles Lima de Almeida, Marco Aurélio Peres, Geraldo da Silva e Souza and Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Fev/2003
<b>64</b>	<b>Medium-Size Macroeconomic Model for the Brazilian Economy</b> <i>Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos and Sergio Afonso Lago Alves</i>	Fev/2003
<b>65</b>	<b>On the Information Content of Oil Future Prices</b> <i>Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Fev/2003
<b>66</b>	<b>A Taxa de Juros de Equilíbrio: uma Abordagem Múltipla</b> <i>Pedro Calhman de Miranda e Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos</i>	Fev/2003
<b>67</b>	<b>Avaliação de Métodos de Cálculo de Exigência de Capital para Risco de Mercado de Carteiras de Ações no Brasil</b> <i>Gustavo S. Araújo, João Maurício S. Moreira e Ricardo S. Maia Clemente</i>	Fev/2003
<b>68</b>	<b>Real Balances in the Utility Function: Evidence for Brazil</b> <i>Leonardo Soriano de Alencar and Márcio I. Nakane</i>	Fev/2003
<b>69</b>	<b>r-filters: a Hodrick-Prescott Filter Generalization</b> <i>Fabio Araújo, Marta Baltar Moreira Areosa and José Alvaro Rodrigues Neto</i>	Fev/2003
<b>70</b>	<b>Monetary Policy Surprises and the Brazilian Term Structure of Interest Rates</b> <i>Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Fev/2003
<b>71</b>	<b>On Shadow-Prices of Banks in Real-Time Gross Settlement Systems</b> <i>Rodrigo Penaloza</i>	Apr/2003
<b>72</b>	<b>O Prêmio pela Maturidade na Estrutura a Termo das Taxas de Juros Brasileiras</b> <i>Ricardo Dias de Oliveira Brito, Angelo J. Mont'Alverne Duarte e Osmani Teixeira de C. Guillen</i>	Maio/2003
<b>73</b>	<b>Análise de Componentes Principais de Dados Funcionais – uma Aplicação às Estruturas a Termo de Taxas de Juros</b> <i>Getúlio Borges da Silveira e Octavio Bessada</i>	Maio/2003
<b>74</b>	<b>Aplicação do Modelo de Black, Derman &amp; Toy à Precificação de Opções Sobre Títulos de Renda Fixa</b> <i>Octavio Manuel Bessada Lion, Carlos Alberto Nunes Cosenza e César das Neves</i>	Maio/2003
<b>75</b>	<b>Brazil's Financial System: Resilience to Shocks, no Currency Substitution, but Struggling to Promote Growth</b> <i>Ilan Goldfajn, Katherine Hennings and Helio Mori</i>	Jun/2003

- 76 **Inflation Targeting in Emerging Market Economies** Jun/2003  
*Arminio Fraga, Ilan Goldfajn and André Minella*
- 77 **Inflation Targeting in Brazil: Constructing Credibility under Exchange Rate Volatility** Jul/2003  
*André Minella, Paulo Springer de Freitas, Ilan Goldfajn and Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos*
- 78 **Contornando os Pressupostos de Black & Scholes: Aplicação do Modelo de Precificação de Opções de Duan no Mercado Brasileiro** Out/2003  
*Gustavo Silva Araújo, Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo, Antonio Carlos Figueiredo, Eduardo Facó Lemgruber*
- 79 **Inclusão do Decaimento Temporal na Metodologia Delta-Gama para o Cálculo do VaR de Carteiras Compradas em Opções no Brasil** Out/2003  
*Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo, Gustavo Silva Araújo, Eduardo Facó Lemgruber*
- 80 **Diferenças e Semelhanças entre Países da América Latina: uma Análise de Markov Switching para os Ciclos Econômicos de Brasil e Argentina** Out/2003  
*Arnildo da Silva Correa*
- 81 **Bank Competition, Agency Costs and the Performance of the Monetary Policy** Jan/2004  
*Leonardo Soriano de Alencar and Márcio I. Nakane*
- 82 **Carteiras de Opções: Avaliação de Metodologias de Exigência de Capital no Mercado Brasileiro** Mar/2004  
*Cláudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo e Gustavo Silva Araújo*
- 83 **Does Inflation Targeting Reduce Inflation? An Analysis for the OECD Industrial Countries** May/2004  
*Thomas Y. Wu*
- 84 **Speculative Attacks on Debts and Optimum Currency Area: a Welfare Analysis** May/2004  
*Aloisio Araujo and Marcia Leon*
- 85 **Risk Premia for Emerging Markets Bonds: Evidence from Brazilian Government Debt, 1996-2002** May/2004  
*André Soares Loureiro and Fernando de Holanda Barbosa*
- 86 **Identificação do Fator Estocástico de Descontos e Algumas Implicações sobre Testes de Modelos de Consumo** Maio/2004  
*Fabio Araujo e João Victor Issler*
- 87 **Mercado de Crédito: uma Análise Econométrica dos Volumes de Crédito Total e Habitacional no Brasil** Dez/2004  
*Ana Carla Abrão Costa*
- 88 **Ciclos Internacionais de Negócios: uma Análise de Mudança de Regime Markoviano para Brasil, Argentina e Estados Unidos** Dez/2004  
*Arnildo da Silva Correa e Ronald Otto Hillbrecht*
- 89 **O Mercado de Hedge Cambial no Brasil: Reação das Instituições Financeiras a Intervenções do Banco Central** Dez/2004  
*Fernando N. de Oliveira*

- 90 **Bank Privatization and Productivity: Evidence for Brazil** Dec/2004  
*Márcio I. Nakane and Daniela B. Weintraub*
- 91 **Credit Risk Measurement and the Regulation of Bank Capital and Provision Requirements in Brazil – a Corporate Analysis** Dec/2004  
*Ricardo Schechtman, Valéria Salomão Garcia, Sergio Miki Koyama and Guilherme Cronemberger Parente*
- 92 **Steady-State Analysis of an Open Economy General Equilibrium Model for Brazil** Apr/2005  
*Mirta Noemi Sataka Bugarin, Roberto de Goes Ellery Jr., Victor Gomes Silva, Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos*
- 93 **Avaliação de Modelos de Cálculo de Exigência de Capital para Risco Cambial** Abr/2005  
*Claudio H. da S. Barbedo, Gustavo S. Araújo, João Maurício S. Moreira e Ricardo S. Maia Clemente*
- 94 **Simulação Histórica Filtrada: Incorporação da Volatilidade ao Modelo Histórico de Cálculo de Risco para Ativos Não-Lineares** Abr/2005  
*Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo, Gustavo Silva Araújo e Eduardo Facó Lemgruber*
- 95 **Comment on Market Discipline and Monetary Policy by Carl Walsh** Apr/2005  
*Maurício S. Bugarin and Fábria A. de Carvalho*
- 96 **O que É Estratégia: uma Abordagem Multiparadigmática para a Disciplina** Ago/2005  
*Anthero de Moraes Meirelles*
- 97 **Finance and the Business Cycle: a Kalman Filter Approach with Markov Switching** Aug/2005  
*Ryan A. Compton and Jose Ricardo da Costa e Silva*
- 98 **Capital Flows Cycle: Stylized Facts and Empirical Evidences for Emerging Market Economies** Aug/2005  
*Helio Mori e Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos*
- 99 **Adequação das Medidas de Valor em Risco na Formulação da Exigência de Capital para Estratégias de Opções no Mercado Brasileiro** Set/2005  
*Gustavo Silva Araújo, Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo, e Eduardo Facó Lemgruber*
- 100 **Targets and Inflation Dynamics** Oct/2005  
*Sergio A. L. Alves and Waldyr D. Areosa*
- 101 **Comparing Equilibrium Real Interest Rates: Different Approaches to Measure Brazilian Rates** Mar/2006  
*Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos and Márcio I. Nakane*
- 102 **Judicial Risk and Credit Market Performance: Micro Evidence from Brazilian Payroll Loans** Apr/2006  
*Ana Carla A. Costa and João M. P. de Mello*
- 103 **The Effect of Adverse Supply Shocks on Monetary Policy and Output** Apr/2006  
*Maria da Glória D. S. Araújo, Mirta Bugarin, Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos and Jose Ricardo C. Silva*

- 104 Extração de Informação de Opções Cambiais no Brasil** Abr/2006  
*Eui Jung Chang e Benjamin Miranda Tabak*
- 105 Representing Roommate's Preferences with Symmetric Utilities** Apr/2006  
*José Alvaro Rodrigues Neto*
- 106 Testing Nonlinearities Between Brazilian Exchange Rates and Inflation Volatilities** May/2006  
*Cristiane R. Albuquerque and Marcelo Portugal*
- 107 Demand for Bank Services and Market Power in Brazilian Banking** Jun/2006  
*Márcio I. Nakane, Leonardo S. Alencar and Fabio Kanczuk*
- 108 O Efeito da Consignação em Folha nas Taxas de Juros dos Empréstimos Pessoais** Jun/2006  
*Eduardo A. S. Rodrigues, Victorio Chu, Leonardo S. Alencar e Tony Takeda*
- 109 The Recent Brazilian Disinflation Process and Costs** Jun/2006  
*Alexandre A. Tombini and Sergio A. Lago Alves*
- 110 Fatores de Risco e o Spread Bancário no Brasil** Jul/2006  
*Fernando G. Bignotto e Eduardo Augusto de Souza Rodrigues*
- 111 Avaliação de Modelos de Exigência de Capital para Risco de Mercado do Cupom Cambial** Jul/2006  
*Alan Cosme Rodrigues da Silva, João Maurício de Souza Moreira e Myrian Beatriz Eiras das Neves*
- 112 Interdependence and Contagion: an Analysis of Information Transmission in Latin America's Stock Markets** Jul/2006  
*Angelo Marsiglia Fasolo*
- 113 Investigação da Memória de Longo Prazo da Taxa de Câmbio no Brasil** Ago/2006  
*Sergio Rubens Stancato de Souza, Benjamin Miranda Tabak e Daniel O. Cajueiro*
- 114 The Inequality Channel of Monetary Transmission** Aug/2006  
*Marta Areosa and Waldyr Areosa*
- 115 Myopic Loss Aversion and House-Money Effect Overseas: an Experimental Approach** Sep/2006  
*José L. B. Fernandes, Juan Ignacio Peña and Benjamin M. Tabak*
- 116 Out-Of-The-Money Monte Carlo Simulation Option Pricing: the Joint Use of Importance Sampling and Descriptive Sampling** Sep/2006  
*Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins, Eduardo Saliby and Josete Florencio dos Santos*
- 117 An Analysis of Off-Site Supervision of Banks' Profitability, Risk and Capital Adequacy: a Portfolio Simulation Approach Applied to Brazilian Banks** Sep/2006  
*Theodore M. Barnhill, Marcos R. Souto and Benjamin M. Tabak*
- 118 Contagion, Bankruptcy and Social Welfare Analysis in a Financial Economy with Risk Regulation Constraint** Oct/2006  
*Aloísio P. Araújo and José Valentim M. Vicente*



119	<b>A Central de Risco de Crédito no Brasil: uma Análise de Utilidade de Informação</b> <i>Ricardo Schechtman</i>	Out/2006
120	<b>Forecasting Interest Rates: an Application for Brazil</b> <i>Eduardo J. A. Lima, Felipe Luduvic and Benjamin M. Tabak</i>	Oct/2006
121	<b>The Role of Consumer's Risk Aversion on Price Rigidity</b> <i>Sergio A. Lago Alves and Mirta N. S. Bugarin</i>	Nov/2006
122	<b>Nonlinear Mechanisms of the Exchange Rate Pass-Through: a Phillips Curve Model With Threshold for Brazil</b> <i>Arnildo da Silva Correa and André Minella</i>	Nov/2006
123	<b>A Neoclassical Analysis of the Brazilian "Lost-Decades"</b> <i>Flávia Mourão Graminho</i>	Nov/2006
124	<b>The Dynamic Relations between Stock Prices and Exchange Rates: Evidence for Brazil</b> <i>Benjamin M. Tabak</i>	Nov/2006
125	<b>Herding Behavior by Equity Foreign Investors on Emerging Markets</b> <i>Barbara Alemanni and José Renato Haas Ornelas</i>	Dec/2006
126	<b>Risk Premium: Insights over the Threshold</b> <i>José L. B. Fernandes, Augusto Hasman and Juan Ignacio Peña</i>	Dec/2006
127	<b>Uma Investigação Baseada em Reamostragem sobre Requerimentos de Capital para Risco de Crédito no Brasil</b> <i>Ricardo Schechtman</i>	Dec/2006
128	<b>Term Structure Movements Implicit in Option Prices</b> <i>Caio Ibsen R. Almeida and José Valentim M. Vicente</i>	Dec/2006
129	<b>Brazil: Taming Inflation Expectations</b> <i>Afonso S. Bevilaqua, Mário Mesquita and André Minella</i>	Jan/2007
130	<b>The Role of Banks in the Brazilian Interbank Market: Does Bank Type Matter?</b> <i>Daniel O. Cajueiro and Benjamin M. Tabak</i>	Jan/2007
131	<b>Long-Range Dependence in Exchange Rates: the Case of the European Monetary System</b> <i>Sergio Rubens Stancato de Souza, Benjamin M. Tabak and Daniel O. Cajueiro</i>	Mar/2007
132	<b>Credit Risk Monte Carlo Simulation Using Simplified Creditmetrics' Model: the Joint Use of Importance Sampling and Descriptive Sampling</b> <i>Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins and Eduardo Saliby</i>	Mar/2007
133	<b>A New Proposal for Collection and Generation of Information on Financial Institutions' Risk: the Case of Derivatives</b> <i>Gilneu F. A. Vivan and Benjamin M. Tabak</i>	Mar/2007
134	<b>Amostragem Descritiva no Apreçamento de Opções Europeias através de Simulação Monte Carlo: o Efeito da Dimensionalidade e da Probabilidade de Exercício no Ganho de Precisão</b> <i>Eduardo Saliby, Sergio Luiz Medeiros Proença de Gouvêa e Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins</i>	Abr/2007

- 135 **Evaluation of Default Risk for the Brazilian Banking Sector** May/2007  
*Marcelo Y. Takami and Benjamin M. Tabak*
- 136 **Identifying Volatility Risk Premium from Fixed Income Asian Options** May/2007  
*Caio Ibsen R. Almeida and José Valentim M. Vicente*
- 137 **Monetary Policy Design under Competing Models of Inflation Persistence** May/2007  
*Solange Gouvea e Abhijit Sen Gupta*
- 138 **Forecasting Exchange Rate Density Using Parametric Models: the Case of Brazil** May/2007  
*Marcos M. Abe, Eui J. Chang and Benjamin M. Tabak*
- 139 **Selection of Optimal Lag Length in Cointegrated VAR Models with Weak Form of Common Cyclical Features** Jun/2007  
*Carlos Enrique Carrasco Gutiérrez, Reinaldo Castro Souza and Osmani Teixeira de Carvalho Guillén*
- 140 **Inflation Targeting, Credibility and Confidence Crises** Aug/2007  
*Rafael Santos and Aloísio Araújo*
- 141 **Forecasting Bonds Yields in the Brazilian Fixed income Market** Aug/2007  
*Jose Vicente and Benjamin M. Tabak*
- 142 **Crises Análise da Coerência de Medidas de Risco no Mercado Brasileiro de Ações e Desenvolvimento de uma Metodologia Híbrida para o Expected Shortfall** Ago/2007  
*Alan Cosme Rodrigues da Silva, Eduardo Facó Lemgruber, José Alberto Rebello Baranowski e Renato da Silva Carvalho*
- 143 **Price Rigidity in Brazil: Evidence from CPI Micro Data** Sep/2007  
*Solange Gouvea*
- 144 **The Effect of Bid-Ask Prices on Brazilian Options Implied Volatility: a Case Study of Telemar Call Options** Oct/2007  
*Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo and Eduardo Facó Lemgruber*
- 145 **The Stability-Concentration Relationship in the Brazilian Banking System** Oct/2007  
*Benjamin Miranda Tabak, Solange Maria Guerra, Eduardo José Araújo Lima and Eui Jung Chang*
- 146 **Movimentos da Estrutura a Termo e Critérios de Minimização do Erro de Previsão em um Modelo Paramétrico Exponencial** Out/2007  
*Caio Almeida, Romeu Gomes, André Leite e José Vicente*
- 147 **Explaining Bank Failures in Brazil: Micro, Macro and Contagion Effects (1994-1998)** Oct/2007  
*Adriana Soares Sales and Maria Eduarda Tannuri-Pianto*
- 148 **Um Modelo de Fatores Latentes com Variáveis Macroeconômicas para a Curva de Cupom Cambial** Out/2007  
*Felipe Pinheiro, Caio Almeida e José Vicente*
- 149 **Joint Validation of Credit Rating PDs under Default Correlation** Oct/2007  
*Ricardo Schechtman*

- 150 **A Probabilistic Approach for Assessing the Significance of Contextual Variables in Nonparametric Frontier Models: an Application for Brazilian Banks** Oct/2007  
*Roberta Blass Staub and Geraldo da Silva e Souza*
- 151 **Building Confidence Intervals with Block Bootstraps for the Variance Ratio Test of Predictability** Nov/2007  
*Eduardo José Araújo Lima and Benjamin Miranda Tabak*
- 152 **Demand for Foreign Exchange Derivatives in Brazil: Hedge or Speculation?** Dec/2007  
*Fernando N. de Oliveira and Walter Novaes*
- 153 **Aplicação da Amostragem por Importância à Simulação de Opções Asiáticas Fora do Dinheiro** Dez/2007  
*Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins*
- 154 **Identification of Monetary Policy Shocks in the Brazilian Market for Bank Reserves** Dec/2007  
*Adriana Soares Sales and Maria Tannuri-Pianto*
- 155 **Does Curvature Enhance Forecasting?** Dec/2007  
*Caio Almeida, Romeu Gomes, André Leite and José Vicente*
- 156 **Escolha do Banco e Demanda por Empréstimos: um Modelo de Decisão em Duas Etapas Aplicado para o Brasil** Dez/2007  
*Sérgio Mikio Koyama e Márcio I. Nakane*
- 157 **Is the Investment-Uncertainty Link Really Elusive? The Harmful Effects of Inflation Uncertainty in Brazil** Jan/2008  
*Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva Filho*
- 158 **Characterizing the Brazilian Term Structure of Interest Rates** Feb/2008  
*Osmani T. Guillen and Benjamin M. Tabak*
- 159 **Behavior and Effects of Equity Foreign Investors on Emerging Markets** Feb/2008  
*Barbara Alemanni and José Renato Haas Ornelas*
- 160 **The Incidence of Reserve Requirements in Brazil: Do Bank Stockholders Share the Burden?** Feb/2008  
*Fábia A. de Carvalho and Cyntia F. Azevedo*
- 161 **Evaluating Value-at-Risk Models via Quantile Regressions** Feb/2008  
*Wagner P. Gaglianone, Luiz Renato Lima and Oliver Linton*
- 162 **Balance Sheet Effects in Currency Crises: Evidence from Brazil** Apr/2008  
*Marcio M. Janot, Márcio G. P. Garcia and Walter Novaes*
- 163 **Searching for the Natural Rate of Unemployment in a Large Relative Price Shocks' Economy: the Brazilian Case** May/2008  
*Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva Filho*
- 164 **Foreign Banks' Entry and Departure: the recent Brazilian experience (1996-2006)** Jun/2008  
*Pedro Fachada*
- 165 **Avaliação de Opções de Troca e Opções de Spread Europeias e Americanas** Jul/2008  
*Giuliano Carrozza Uzêda Iorio de Souza, Carlos Patrício Samanez e Gustavo Santos Raposo*

<b>166</b>	<b>Testing Hyperinflation Theories Using the Inflation Tax Curve: a case study</b> <i>Fernando de Holanda Barbosa and Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva Filho</i>	Jul/2008
<b>167</b>	<b>O Poder Discriminante das Operações de Crédito das Instituições Financeiras Brasileiras</b> <i>Clodoaldo Aparecido Annibal</i>	Jul/2008
<b>168</b>	<b>An Integrated Model for Liquidity Management and Short-Term Asset Allocation in Commercial Banks</b> <i>Wenersamy Ramos de Alcântara</i>	Jul/2008
<b>169</b>	<b>Mensuração do Risco Sistemico no Setor Bancário com Variáveis Contábeis e Econômicas</b> <i>Lucio Rodrigues Capelletto, Eliseu Martins e Luiz João Corrar</i>	Jul/2008
<b>170</b>	<b>Política de Fechamento de Bancos com Regulador Não-Benevolente: Resumo e Aplicação</b> <i>Adriana Soares Sales</i>	Jul/2008
<b>171</b>	<b>Modelos para a Utilização das Operações de Redesconto pelos Bancos com Carteira Comercial no Brasil</b> <i>Sérgio Mikio Koyama e Márcio Issao Nakane</i>	Ago/2008
<b>172</b>	<b>Combining Hodrick-Prescott Filtering with a Production Function Approach to Estimate Output Gap</b> <i>Marta Areosa</i>	Aug/2008
<b>173</b>	<b>Exchange Rate Dynamics and the Relationship between the Random Walk Hypothesis and Official Interventions</b> <i>Eduardo José Araújo Lima and Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Aug/2008
<b>174</b>	<b>Foreign Exchange Market Volatility Information: an investigation of real-dollar exchange rate</b> <i>Frederico Pechir Gomes, Marcelo Yoshio Takami and Vinicius Ratton Brandi</i>	Aug/2008
<b>175</b>	<b>Evaluating Asset Pricing Models in a Fama-French Framework</b> <i>Carlos Enrique Carrasco Gutierrez and Wagner Piazza Gaglianone</i>	Dec/2008
<b>176</b>	<b>Fiat Money and the Value of Binding Portfolio Constraints</b> <i>Mário R. Páscoa, Myrian Petrassi and Juan Pablo Torres-Martínez</i>	Dec/2008
<b>177</b>	<b>Preference for Flexibility and Bayesian Updating</b> <i>Gil Riella</i>	Dec/2008
<b>178</b>	<b>An Econometric Contribution to the Intertemporal Approach of the Current Account</b> <i>Wagner Piazza Gaglianone and João Victor Issler</i>	Dec/2008
<b>179</b>	<b>Are Interest Rate Options Important for the Assessment of Interest Rate Risk?</b> <i>Caio Almeida and José Vicente</i>	Dec/2008
<b>180</b>	<b>A Class of Incomplete and Ambiguity Averse Preferences</b> <i>Leandro Nascimento and Gil Riella</i>	Dec/2008
<b>181</b>	<b>Monetary Channels in Brazil through the Lens of a Semi-Structural Model</b> <i>André Minella and Nelson F. Souza-Sobrinho</i>	Apr/2009

<b>182</b>	<b>Avaliação de Opções Americanas com Barreiras Monitoradas de Forma Discreta</b> <i>Giuliano Carrozza Uzêda Iorio de Souza e Carlos Patrício Samanez</i>	Abr/2009
<b>183</b>	<b>Ganhos da Globalização do Capital Acionário em Crises Cambiais</b> <i>Marcio Janot e Walter Novaes</i>	Abr/2009
<b>184</b>	<b>Behavior Finance and Estimation Risk in Stochastic Portfolio Optimization</b> <i>José Luiz Barros Fernandes, Juan Ignacio Peña and Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Apr/2009
<b>185</b>	<b>Market Forecasts in Brazil: performance and determinants</b> <i>Fabia A. de Carvalho and André Minella</i>	Apr/2009
<b>186</b>	<b>Previsão da Curva de Juros: um modelo estatístico com variáveis macroeconômicas</b> <i>André Luís Leite, Romeu Braz Pereira Gomes Filho e José Valentim Machado Vicente</i>	Maio/2009
<b>187</b>	<b>The Influence of Collateral on Capital Requirements in the Brazilian Financial System: an approach through historical average and logistic regression on probability of default</b> <i>Alan Cosme Rodrigues da Silva, Antônio Carlos Magalhães da Silva, Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins, Myrian Beatriz Eiras da Neves and Giovanni Antonio Silva Brito</i>	Jun/2009
<b>188</b>	<b>Pricing Asian Interest Rate Options with a Three-Factor HJM Model</b> <i>Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo, José Valentim Machado Vicente and Octávio Manuel Bessada Lion</i>	Jun/2009
<b>189</b>	<b>Linking Financial and Macroeconomic Factors to Credit Risk Indicators of Brazilian Banks</b> <i>Marcos Souto, Benjamin M. Tabak and Francisco Vazquez</i>	Jul/2009
<b>190</b>	<b>Concentração Bancária, Lucratividade e Risco Sistêmico: uma abordagem de contágio indireto</b> <i>Bruno Silva Martins e Leonardo S. Alencar</i>	Set/2009
<b>191</b>	<b>Concentração e Inadimplência nas Carteiras de Empréstimos dos Bancos Brasileiros</b> <i>Patricia L. Tecles, Benjamin M. Tabak e Roberta B. Staub</i>	Set/2009
<b>192</b>	<b>Inadimplência do Setor Bancário Brasileiro: uma avaliação de suas medidas</b> <i>Clodoaldo Aparecido Annibal</i>	Set/2009
<b>193</b>	<b>Loss Given Default: um estudo sobre perdas em operações prefixadas no mercado brasileiro</b> <i>Antonio Carlos Magalhães da Silva, Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins e Myrian Beatriz Eiras das Neves</i>	Set/2009
<b>194</b>	<b>Testes de Contágio entre Sistemas Bancários – A crise do <i>subprime</i></b> <i>Benjamin M. Tabak e Manuela M. de Souza</i>	Set/2009
<b>195</b>	<b>From Default Rates to Default Matrices: a complete measurement of Brazilian banks' consumer credit delinquency</b> <i>Ricardo Schechtman</i>	Oct/2009

- 196 The role of macroeconomic variables in sovereign risk** Oct/2009  
*Marco S. Matsumura and José Valentim Vicente*
- 197 Forecasting the Yield Curve for Brazil** Nov/2009  
*Daniel O. Cajueiro, Jose A. Divino and Benjamin M. Tabak*
- 198 Impacto dos Swaps Cambiais na Curva de Cupom Cambial: uma análise segundo a regressão de componentes principais** Nov/2009  
*Alessandra Pasqualina Viola, Margarida Sarmiento Gutierrez, Octávio Bessada Lion e Cláudio Henrique Barbedo*
- 199 Delegated Portfolio Management and Risk Taking Behavior** Dec/2009  
*José Luiz Barros Fernandes, Juan Ignacio Peña and Benjamin Miranda Tabak*
- 200 Evolution of Bank Efficiency in Brazil: A DEA Approach** Dec/2009  
*Roberta B. Staub, Geraldo Souza and Benjamin M. Tabak*
- 201 Efeitos da Globalização na Inflação Brasileira** Jan/2010  
*Rafael Santos e Márcia S. Leon*
- 202 Considerações sobre a Atuação do Banco Central na Crise de 2008** Mar/2010  
*Mário Mesquita e Mario Torós*
- 203 Hiato do Produto e PIB no Brasil: uma Análise de Dados em Tempo Real** Abr/2010  
*Rafael Tiecher Cusinato, André Minella e Sabino da Silva Pôrto Júnior*
- 204 Fiscal and monetary policy interaction: a simulation based analysis of a two-country New Keynesian DSGE model with heterogeneous households** Apr/2010  
*Marcos Valli and Fabia A. de Carvalho*
- 205 Model selection, estimation and forecasting in VAR models with short-run and long-run restrictions** Apr/2010  
*George Athanasopoulos, Osmani Teixeira de Carvalho Guillén, João Victor Issler and Farshid Vahid*
- 206 Fluctuation Dynamics in US interest rates and the role of monetary policy** Apr/2010  
*Daniel Oliveira Cajueiro and Benjamin M. Tabak*
- 207 Brazilian Strategy for Managing the Risk of Foreign Exchange Rate Exposure During a Crisis** Apr/2010  
*Antonio Francisco A. Silva Jr.*
- 208 Correlação de default: uma investigação empírica de créditos de varejo no Brasil** Maio/2010  
*Antonio Carlos Magalhães da Silva, Arnildo da Silva Correa, Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins e Myrian Beatriz Eiras das Neves*
- 209 Produção Industrial no Brasil: uma análise de dados em tempo real** Maio/2010  
*Rafael Tiecher Cusinato, André Minella e Sabino da Silva Pôrto Júnior*
- 210 Determinants of Bank Efficiency: the case of Brazil** May/2010  
*Patricia Tecles and Benjamin M. Tabak*

211	<b>Pessimistic Foreign Investors and Turmoil in Emerging Markets: the case of Brazil in 2002</b> <i>Sandro C. Andrade and Emanuel Kohlscheen</i>	Aug/2010
212	<b>The Natural Rate of Unemployment in Brazil, Chile, Colombia and Venezuela: some results and challenges</b> <i>Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva</i>	Sep/2010
213	<b>Estimation of Economic Capital Concerning Operational Risk in a Brazilian banking industry case</b> <i>Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Délio José Cordeiro Galvão and Renato Falci Villela Loures</i>	Oct/2010
214	<b>Do Inflation-linked Bonds Contain Information about Future Inflation?</b> <i>José Valentim Machado Vicente and Osmani Teixeira de Carvalho Guillen</i>	Oct/2010
215	<b>The Effects of Loan Portfolio Concentration on Brazilian Banks' Return and Risk</b> <i>Benjamin M. Tabak, Dimas M. Fazio and Daniel O. Cajueiro</i>	Oct/2010
216	<b>Cyclical Effects of Bank Capital Buffers with Imperfect Credit Markets: international evidence</b> <i>A.R. Fonseca, F. González and L. Pereira da Silva</i>	Oct/2010
217	<b>Financial Stability and Monetary Policy – The case of Brazil</b> <i>Benjamin M. Tabak, Marcela T. Laiz and Daniel O. Cajueiro</i>	Oct/2010
218	<b>The Role of Interest Rates in the Brazilian Business Cycles</b> <i>Nelson F. Souza-Sobrinho</i>	Oct/2010
219	<b>The Brazilian Interbank Network Structure and Systemic Risk</b> <i>Edson Bastos e Santos and Rama Cont</i>	Oct/2010
220	<b>Eficiência Bancária e Inadimplência: testes de Causalidade</b> <i>Benjamin M. Tabak, Giovana L. Craveiro e Daniel O. Cajueiro</i>	Out/2010
221	<b>Financial Instability and Credit Constraint: evidence from the cost of bank financing</b> <i>Bruno S. Martins</i>	Nov/2010
222	<b>O Comportamento Cíclico do Capital dos Bancos Brasileiros</b> <i>R. A. Ferreira, A. C. Noronha, B. M. Tabak e D. O. Cajueiro</i>	Nov/2010
223	<b>Forecasting the Yield Curve with Linear Factor Models</b> <i>Marco Shinobu Matsumura, Ajax Reynaldo Bello Moreira and José Valentim Machado Vicente</i>	Nov/2010
224	<b>Emerging Floaters: pass-throughs and (some) new commodity currencies</b> <i>Emanuel Kohlscheen</i>	Nov/2010
225	<b>Expectativas Inflacionárias e Inflação Implícita no Mercado Brasileiro</b> <i>Flávio de Freitas Val, Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo e Marcelo Verdini Maia</i>	Nov/2010
226	<b>A Macro Stress Test Model of Credit Risk for the Brazilian Banking Sector</b> <i>Francisco Vazquez, Benjamin M. Tabak and Marcos Souto</i>	Nov/2010

- |            |  |          |
|------------|--|----------|
| <b>227</b> | <b>Uma Nota sobre Erros de Previsão da Inflação de Curto Prazo</b><br><i>Emanuel Kohlscheen</i>  | Nov/2010 |
| <b>228</b> | <b>Forecasting Brazilian Inflation Using a Large Data Set</b><br><i>Francisco Marcos Rodrigues Figueiredo</i>                                    | Dec/2010 |
| <b>229</b> | <b>Financial Fragility in a General Equilibrium Model: the Brazilian case</b><br><i>Benjamin M. Tabak, Daniel O. Cajueiro and Dimas M. Fazio</i> | Dec/2010 |