

# Working Paper Series 252

Modeling Default Probabilities: the case of Brazil

Benjamin M. Tabak, Daniel O. Cajueiro and A. Luduvice January, 2011

					50 00.038.166/0001-0
Working Paper Series	Brasília	n. 232	Jan.	2011	p. 1-43

ISSN 1518-3548 CGC 00.038.166/0001-05

# Working Paper Series

Edited by Research Department (Depep) - E-mail: workingpaper@bcb.gov.br

Editor: Benjamin Miranda Tabak – E-mail: benjamin.tabak@bcb.gov.br Editorial Assistant: Jane Sofia Moita – E-mail: jane.sofia@bcb.gov.br Head of Research Department: Adriana Soares Sales – E-mail: adriana.sales@bcb.gov.br

The Banco Central do Brasil Working Papers are all evaluated in double blind referee process.

Reproduction is permitted only if source is stated as follows: Working Paper n. 232.

Authorized by Carlos Hamilton Vasconcelos Araújo, Deputy Governor for Economic Policy.

#### **General Control of Publications**

Banco Central do Brasil Secre/Surel/Cogiv SBS – Quadra 3 – Bloco B – Edifício-Sede – 1° andar Caixa Postal 8.670 70074-900 Brasília – DF – Brazil Phones: +55 (61) 3414-3710 and 3414-3565 Fax: +55 (61) 3414-3626 E-mail: editor@bcb.gov.br

The views expressed in this work are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Banco Central or its members.

Although these Working Papers often represent preliminary work, citation of source is required when used or reproduced.

As opiniões expressas neste trabalho são exclusivamente do(s) autor(es) e não refletem, necessariamente, a visão do Banco Central do Brasil.

Ainda que este artigo represente trabalho preliminar, é requerida a citação da fonte, mesmo quando reproduzido parcialmente.

#### **Consumer Complaints and Public Enquiries Center**

Banco Central do Brasil Secre/Surel/Diate SBS – Quadra 3 – Bloco B – Edifício-Sede – 2° subsolo 70074-900 Brasília – DF – Brazil Fax: +55 (61) 3414-2553 Internet: http://www.bcb.gov.br/?english

# Modeling Default Probabilities: The Case of Brazil

Benjamin M. Tabak<sup>1</sup>, Daniel O Cajueiro<sup>2</sup>, A. Luduvice<sup>3</sup>

The Working Papers should not be reported as representing the views of the Banco Central do Brasil. The views expressed in the papers are those of the author(s) and not necessarily reflect those of the Banco Central do Brasil.

#### Abstract

Using disaggregated data from the Brazilian stock market, we calculate default probabilities for 30 different economic sectors. Empirical results suggest that domestic macroeconomic factors can explain these default probabilities. In addition, we construct the Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) and the ultrametric hierarchical tree with the MST based on default probabilities to disclose common trends, which reveals that some sectors form clusters. The results of this paper imply that macroeconomic variables have distinct effects on default probabilities, which is important to take into account in credit risk modeling and the generation of stress test scenarios.

*Key words:* Probabilities of default, default risk, Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), Minimal Spanning Tree (MST).

 $<sup>^{1}\,</sup>$ Research Department, Banco Central do Brasil

 $<sup>^2\,</sup>$ Universidade de Brasilia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Universidade de Brasilia

# 1 Introduction

One of the primary goals of financial regulation is to maintain economic stability, e.g., to avoid crises and sudden adverse changes in the financial system. Historically, banking crises have proven to generate significant costs to the real economy (Hoggarth et al., 2002), which are usually less severe in countries with regulated banking systems (Angkinand, 2009).

We have recently seen that the 2008 subprime crisis brought us the need of a robust risk management culture (Ackermann, 2008). For investors and financial institutions, detecting risk trends and making comparisons across countries are important to minimize risks. Financial instability not only diminishes the welfare of the economy with losses in the GDP, but affects consumption and aggravates uncertainty (Barrell et al., 2006). Thus, considering the inherent risk of the economic agents, as well as the possibility of contagion effects, policy actions from authorities are necessary to accomplish stability and avoid damages. Therefore, predicting crises and assessing the degree of risk of the institution/country concerned provides important information to regulators.

Probabilities of default are valuable pieces of information for supervisors when assessing the health of the financial system. They are usually calculated with stock market data and used to identify and predict upcoming crises as early as possible, as an attempt to minimize its negative effects. Furthermore, as a rule, authorities and regulators must primarily remain watchful not to the actual value of the probability, but to movements in the probabilities of failure, as to detect upward trends and avoid failure (Clare, 1995).

In this context, one important branch of the recent financial literature has focused on the determinants of the probabilities of default. For instance, probabilities of default were found to be influenced by domestic macroeconomic factors (such as inflation, production and interest) as well as a market portfolio and the international risk (Clare and Priestley, 1998), by financial deregulation (Clare and Priestley, 2002), by the size of the company (Dietsch and Petey, 2004), by a variety of capital markets factors (such as interest and exchange rates and credit spreads) (Berardi et al., 2004) and by the institutional environment of the country (such as the quality of governance, the degree of law and order) (Byström, 2004). Furthermore, Ammer and Packer (2000), in their turn, point out that although the risk is usually assigned in accordance with the issuer (sovereigns, municipal governments, industrial firms, and financial institutions located in many countries), the default determinants can differ also across industrial sector and geographical localization, so that maintaining the consistency across sectors usually is not easy.

Another important branch of the financial literature has tried to detect the

existence of contagion effects among countries. We know that the Russian crisis (1998) increased the probability of Brazilian domestic bank failure, whereas the Argentinean crisis (2001) did not, providing evidence that contagion has decreased since 1998, due to the introduction of a floating exchange rate regime and the inflation-targeting framework (Tabak and Staub, 2007). In the United States, while geographic distance of the solvent banks' head offices from the head offices of the failed banks and capital adequacy are found to be negatively correlated to the magnitude of the contagion effect, size is positively related, supporting the existence of information-based contagion (Aharony and Swary, 1996). Byström et al. (2005) analyze Thai firms and banks and observe a significant increase in market based default probabilities around the Asian crisis (1997-1998), but with a slow return to pre-crisis levels.

Parallel to to this literature that is devoted to understand the determinants of probabilities of default and the contagion among countries, a literature based on complex networks analysis has been developed as an intersection of several fields from graph theory to statistical physics to provide a unified view of dynamic systems that may be described by complex web-like structures and non-parametric statistics (Albert and Barabasi, 2002; Boccaletti et al., 2006; Costa et al., 2007). The modeling of financial networks using tools provided by the theory of complex networks can provide important insights on the understanding of financial links between banks and for the development of better financial regulation (Boss et al., 2004; Iori et al., 2006; Nier et al., 2007; Cajueiro and Tabak, 2008). In this paper, we are particularly interested in identifying the hierarchy present in the network formed with correlations of the probabilities of default.

We construct the Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) and the ultrametric hierarchical tree associated with the MST for this purpose (Mantegna, 1999). The networks property of hierarchy is useful because it allow us to observe that the networks often have structure in which vertices cluster together into groups that then join to form groups of groups, from the lowest levels of organization up to the level of the entire network. Furthermore, the use of MST analysis is adequate for extracting relevant information when a large number of markets are being studied as it provides a parsimonious representation of the network of all possible interconnectedness and can greatly reduce complexity by showing only the most important non-redundant connections in a graphical manner (Coelho et al., 2007). One may note that that there is a large body of literature that have studied the emergence of complex patterns in networks formed by correlations of stocks (Onnela et al., 2002; Coelho et al., 2006), interest rates (Matteo et al., 2004, 2005; Tabak et al., 2009).

Our paper derives implied default probabilities for different economic sectors following the work of Byström (2004) and contribute to the discussion about the determinants of the probabilities of default in two different ways. First, we show that the implied default probabilities for different sectors present common trends using recent econometric and the above-mentioned clustering methods. To the best of our knowledge this is the first paper that studies the network formed by correlations of default probabilities, which may prove useful for credit risk management. Second, we present important evidence linking default probabilities to macroeconomic variables, which may be used to stress risk within these sectors. Therefore, we provide new evidence suggesting that macro-financial variables (Clare and Priestley, 1998; Berardi et al., 2004) may be used as determinants of probabilities of default, using disaggregated data. One also should note that we focus our analysis on one of the most important markets in Latin America, Brazil. Brazil ranks as one of the most important stock markets in Latin America both by size of the market and liquidity. We focus on economic sectors that comprise the Brazilian domestic traded firms within the Brazilian stock market. Many of these shares are also traded in the New York Stock Exchange as American Depositary Receipts (ADRs) and may be seen as an important source for international diversification. Despite the economic significance of the Brazilian stock market the literature on this particular market is scant.

The paper is divided as follows. The next section presents the methodology used to estimate the probabilities of default and to study the topology of the networks of correlations of probabilities of default. Section 3 presents the data and the main empirical results of this paper. Finally, section 4 concludes the paper summarizing the main findings of this paper.

#### 2 Methodology

#### 2.1 Estimation of Default Probabilities

In our approach, we estimate probabilities of default (PD's) based on a conditional version of the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), following the work of Byström (2004).

The share price of a firm is given by:

$$S_{it} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{N} P_{lt} X_{lt}}{N},\tag{1}$$

where N is the number of issued ordinary shares,  $P_l$  is the price of asset/liability l and  $X_l$  represents asset/liability l.

The excess return on stock i is given by:

~

$$\ddot{R}_{it} = \beta_t E(\dot{R}_{mt}) + \varepsilon_{it},\tag{2}$$

where  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is assumed to be a white noise error term.

The conditional form of the CAPM shows that the return of a stock *i* depends on the time-varying market price of the risk  $\lambda_t$ , scaled by the time-varying conditional covariance between the excess return on stock *i* and the stock return on the market portfolio:

$$\ddot{R}_{it} = \lambda_t E(u_{mt}, \varepsilon_{it}) + \varepsilon_{it}.$$
(3)

The conditional variance (that is, the variability in the market value of the bank's capital around it's expected value) of firm capital at time t as measured at time t - 1 is:

$$E_{t-1}(S_t N - E_{t-1}(S_t N))^2 = (S_{t-1}N)\sigma_{\varepsilon_{it}}^2,$$
(4)

where  $\sigma_{\varepsilon_{it}}^2$  is the variance of  $\varepsilon_i$ . This expression can be interpreted as the difference between the actual and expected value of a firms capital at time t, where  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is the rational expectation forecast error.

We can thus develop a measure of the probability of default as the number of standard deviations the value of capital represents at time t-1 which is given by the following expression:

$$\frac{S_{it-1}N}{(S_{it-1}N)\sigma_{\varepsilon_{it}}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon_{it}}}.$$
(5)

Assuming normality on the error term, we use the normal distribution to construct the default probability.

In order to estimate the equations (2) and (3) we first calculate the conditional variance  $\sigma_{\varepsilon_t}$  using a bivariate EGARCH, as described below:

$$E(\sigma_{\varepsilon_{t}}^{2}) = \omega_{1}^{2} + \beta_{1}^{2}\sigma_{t-1}^{2} + \alpha_{1}^{2}\varepsilon_{t-1}^{2} + \gamma_{1}I\varepsilon$$

$$E(\sigma_{\upsilon_{t}}^{2}) = \omega_{3}^{2} + \omega_{2}^{2} + \beta_{2}^{2}\sigma_{t-1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2}\upsilon_{t-1} + \gamma_{2}I\upsilon$$

$$E(\sigma_{\varepsilon_{t},\upsilon_{t}}) = \omega_{1}\omega_{2} + \beta_{2}\beta_{1}E(\sigma_{\varepsilon_{t-1},\upsilon_{t-1}}) + \alpha_{2}\alpha_{1}\varepsilon_{t-1}\upsilon_{t-1},$$
(6)

where  $E(\sigma_{\varepsilon_t}^2)$  and  $E(\sigma_{\upsilon_t}^2)$  are the conditional variances of  $\varepsilon_t$  and  $\upsilon_t$ ,  $E(\sigma_{\varepsilon_t,\upsilon_t})$  is

the covariance between  $\varepsilon_t$  and  $v_t$ ,  $I\varepsilon$  (Iv) are dummy variables that are equal to 1 when  $\varepsilon_{t-1} < 0$  ( $v_{t-1} < 0$ ) and 0 otherwise.

Our choosing of a bivariate EGARCH is based on an asymmetric conditional volatility, i.e., falling prices will lead to a higher increase in the volatility of a stock's rate of return.

We add up the calculated daily  $\sigma_{\varepsilon_{it}}^2$  estimates on each month and create a monthly default measure  $1/\sqrt{\sigma_{\varepsilon_1}^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon_2}^2 + \ldots + \sigma_{\varepsilon_{21}}^2}$ . Afterwards, we use an annualized measure defined as  $1/\sqrt{12\sigma_{\varepsilon_1}^2}$ .

## 2.2 Construction of Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) and Hierarchical Tree from Default Probabilities

From the probabilities of default we build a Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) to study the topology of the network. However, the MST requires the use of a variable that can be interpreted as distance, satisfying the three axioms of Euclidian distance. Therefore, we transform this matrix in order to build a distance matrix. To build the probability of default network we employ the metric distance  $d_{i,j} = \sqrt{2(1 - \rho_{i,j})}$  proposed by Mantegna and Stanley (1999), where  $\rho_{i,j}$  is the correlation between changes in default probabilities *i* and *j*<sup>4</sup>.

The MST is a graph that connects all the *n* nodes of the graph with n-1 edges, such that the sum of all edge weights  $\sum_{i,j\in D} d_{i,j}$  is a minimum, where *D* is the distance matrix. The MST extracts significant information from the distance matrix and it reduces the information space from  $\frac{n \times (n-1)}{2}$  correlations to n-1 tree edges. It is the spanning tree of the shortest length using the Kruskal algorithm of the  $d_{i,j}$  and is a graph without cycles connecting all nodes with links<sup>5</sup>.

Define the maximal distance  $d_{i,j}^*$  between two successive commodities when moving from PD<sub>i</sub> to PD<sub>j</sub> over the shortest path of the MST connecting these two commodities<sup>6</sup>. The distance  $d_{i,j}^*$  satisfies the above axioms of Euclidian

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This metric satisfies the three axioms of Euclidian distance: (i)  $d_{i,j} = 0$  if and only if i = j, (ii)  $d_{i,j} = d_{j,i}$ , and (iii)  $d_{i,j} \le d_{i,k} + d_{k,j}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Kruskal algorithm has the following steps: 1). Choose a pair of commodities with the nearest distance and connect with a line proportional to this distance, 2). Connect a pair with second nearest distance, 3). Connect the nearest pair that is not connected by the same tree, and 4). Repeat step three until all commodities are connected in one tree.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This distance is called subdominant ultrametric distance and a space connected by these distances provides a topological space that has associated a unique indexed hierarchy.

distance and also the following ultrametric inequality:

$$d_{i,j} \le \max[d_{i,k}, d_{k,j}]. \tag{7}$$

Networks have many properties that help researchers to understand the interactions between agents in a complex system. They have the property of hierarchy which is useful to observe that the networks often have structure in which vertices cluster together into groups that then join to form groups of groups, from the lowest levels of organization up to the level of the entire network.

The ultrametric hierarchical tree uses the single-linkage clustering method, which builds up clusters by starting with distinct objects and linking them based on similarity. The major issue with this method is that while it is robust for strongly clustered networks, it has a tendency to link poorly clustered groups into chains by successively joining them to their nearest neighbors. This ultrametric hierarchical tree provides useful information to investigate the number and nature of the common factors that affect the different sectors.

## 3 Empirical Results and Data

#### 3.1 Individual Regressions

We estimate default probabilities for 30 markets over the period from March 1, 2000 to June 30, 2008. In this period, default probabilities remained very low in most market sectors. "Media" and "Broadcast and Entertainment" have had the highest average default probability (around 6%).

The first step in our modeling procedure was to test whether default probabilities for the different sectors had unit roots. We find evidence suggesting that this is indeed the case and therefore the dependent variables were changes in default probabilities. Second, we also test whether macro-financial variables contained unit roots and employ the changes of these variables as well. An additional step was to estimate whether the macro-financial variables were statistically correlated and to employ auxiliary regressions to build a set of orthogonal macro-financial variables. In order to do so we employ the residuals of the regressions relating two or more of these macro-financial variables as a proxy for the original variable.

We model these default probabilities by regressing them for each sector on a number of macro-financial variables. We included 8 variables to explain the probabilities of default: inflation, interest rate, oil price (Brent), exchange rate (Real/Dollar), interest rates spread, stock market index (Ibovespa - Sao Paulo Stock Exchange Index) as well as the Brazilian industrial production and the consumer confidence index.

Results presented in Table 2-3 indicate that some of these probabilities can be explained in terms of domestic macroeconomic factors, although a significant part remains unknown as the mean adjusted R-squared is low (around 0.126). An interesting feature is that different sectors have different sensitivities to these macro-financial variables, which is an important finding in order to build coherent credit risk models.

#### Place Table 1 About Here

#### Place Figure 1 About Here

The regressions of default probabilities in each sector are shown in Tables 2 and 3. The coefficients for the variable which measures inflation, IPCA, vary between -2.40 to 2.55, where 17 of them are significant. Also, 22 coefficients have negative signal, being 16 of them significant. The results for the interest rate are limited between 0.82 to 15.53, 13 of those are significant and all of them have positive signal. Regarding the variable for Oil price we can find coefficients varying from -8.87 to 3.35, where only one is significant and negative. Other 15 negative coefficients can be found within the list. Therefore, this is the variable with the most heterogenous impact. There are 17 significant exchange rate coefficients, all of them positive. In total, 26 of the 30 coefficients for this variable have positive signal, which implies that exchange rate shocks are an important source of systemic risk within the Brazilian economy.

The variable with the most homogenous impact is the interest rates spread, which is significant for 26 sectors. Also, all of these 26 coefficients are positive and the range of impact varies from 1.32 to 20.27. Again, it seems that different sector have very different sensitivities to these macro-financial variables. The Ibovespa index coefficients are in the interval between -14.78 to 2.21, 10 of those are significant, all of them part of the 25 with positive signal from the 30. The index for the Brazilian Industrial production varies from -8.55 to 34.41, 21 of them are positive, but only two significant. Finally, the monthly change in the consumer confidence index presents coefficients in the range from -9.00 to 0.39. Seven of them are significant and negative. Other 21 sectors also presents the same signal direction. The adjusted degree of explanation of the regressions ranges between 0.05 and 0.33.

Place Table 2 and 3 About Here

Place Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5 About Here

#### 3.2 Panel Data Regressions

We test for the significance of the macro-financial variables within a panel data framework. We estimate three different models to check for consistency and robustness of results. We run a random effects model with a first-order autoregressive (AR(1)) disturbance, a fixed effects model and also a Feasible Generalized Least Squares (FGLS) method, allowing for serial correlation and heteroscedasticity in the residuals (White, 1980; Arellano, 2003). We have 100 time periods and only 30 sectors and therefore we do not employ the usual Arellano-Bond method that corrects for the bias in dynamic panel data models (Arellano and Bond, 1991).

Table 4 presents the results of the panel regressions applied to the 30 sectors providing us the sensitivities of default probabilities to the macro-financial variables. The coefficient of the lag default probability ranges between 0.643 and 0.918 according to the method, indicating historically strong persistence of these probabilities. We expect that increases in interest rates spread should imply in greater default probabilities and the first two methods give us positive coefficients although none of those being significant at a 10% level. Also, we hypothesize that the greater the inflation the greater is the default probabilities, which is significantly captured by the coefficients of the variable in the first two methods applied, the coefficients vary between -1.30 and 0.72.

Moreover, we expect that rises in inflation (measured by IPCA index) and in the consumer confidence should rise and diminish, respectively, the default probabilities. All coefficients in the three models show this desired relation, varying, for the first, between -0.000630, which is not significant to 0.37 and, for the second, between -1.57 to -0.49. Also, the rise in price of Crude Oil should diminish the default probabilities in Brazilian economic sectors, as the country has achieved self-sufficiency at this commodity. All three coefficients are negative, although, in the first model one is almost significant at the 10% level, varying between -0.68 to 0.71. Another hypothesis is that the interest rate should have positive impact in default probabilities. The three methods used provide significant coefficients with a positive relationship, in accordance to theoretical predictions. Also the results show that for the monthly change in the production index we obtain the coefficients 11.22, 10.26 and 6.432, respectively, from the first to the third method.

As Brazilian firms are net exporters, our expectation is that a rise of the exchange rate should imply in greater default probability, this effect is significantly captured in all coefficients of this variable in the models, varying from 5.634 to 10.09. Furthermore, the stock market index is a leading indicator for economic activity, therefore if the stock market index increases we should expect positive growth of the economy and lower default probabilities. Our

results show that in the three methods applied the signal of the coefficient is the one desired, negative and the values are -5.100, -4.986 and -3.398, for the first to the third model, respectively.

## Place Table 4 About Here

## 3.3 Minimum Spanning Tree

We use the probabilities of default to construct the Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) and the ultrametric hierarchical tree, to identify clusters and connections between market sectors. As well as it can be seen with large complex financial institutions, including stock and equity markets, and interest rates (Hawkesby et al., 2007; Coelho et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2009; Tabak et al., 2009), shocks in the economy that affect a specific sector tend to affect the entire cluster spreading to near neighbors.

Our search of these topological arrangements, which are present between the stocks of a given portfolio, is intended to provide empirical evidence about the existence of economic factors which drive the time evolution of stock prices (Mantegna, 1999). This graphical tool based in the matrix of correlation between probabilities of default can be used to minimize risks for a given portfolio return by optimizing the asset weights. Stocks of the minimum risk portfolio are found on the outskirts of a graph, thus it is expected that larger graphs lead to a greater diversification potential, as the scope of the stock market tends to eliminate specific risks (Onnela et al., 2003; Jung et al., 2006).

#### Place Figure 6 About Here

A careful look at the MST and of the Taxonomy Hierarchical Tree show mainly two groups of stocks, centralized by International Oil & Gas and Utilities. Both of these sectors, as we can observe in the individual regressions, suffer great impact due to variations of the exchange rate and of the industrial production index which are highly significant variables of all the three panel regressions of Table 4. The connection between those two groups is made by the Banks and the Speciality Financials sectors playing its role as financial intermediaries.

Also, we can observe in Figure 6 that there is a small cluster centralized by Speciality Financials connecting Forestry & Paper and the Tobacco sectors. Moreover, many intuitive direct connections are identifiable such as that of Brewer and Beverages sectors, Broadcast & Entertainment and Media, Forestry & Paper and Paper or Iron & Steel and Industrial Metal & Mines sectors. All of the latter when compared tend to have similar individual regressions indicating great coherence in the graph that is able to illustrate such relation. This is expected as in some cases, specific sectors may possess similar stocks and our procedure is able to identify these sectors as they have a large correlation between themselves.

The observed groups are homogeneous with respect to industry (although with a few exceptions) and can be divided into subgroups. It is argued that stocks belonging to the same clusters carry detectable economic information in the sense that their prices respond, in a statistical point of view, to similar economic factors. In order to gain some benefit from diversification one should build portfolios using stocks that are dissimilar and are not fully connected with other sectors. Furthermore, these results suggests which sectors may be used to hedge against adverse price movements in specific sectors.

# Place Figure 7 About Here

From a credit risk point of view diversification is crucial. Therefore, lending to sectors that belong to specific clusters may imply higher credit risk, which can be avoided by focusing on distant sectors belonging to different clusters(Bauerle, 2002).

We also present the MST for two distinct periods - 2000 to 2004 and 2004 to 2008. Our results suggests that the links between clusters may weaken in specific cases and in others they may reinforce. Therefore, these results suggest that the method has to be updated within a certain frequency in order to gain the full benefits of it. It is a visual method that allows to establish which sectors should be targeted in order to mitigate risks or enhance investment performance.

Place Figures 8 and 9 About Here

# 4 Conclusions

In this paper we have estimated default probabilities for 30 market sectors using an approach based on stock market behavior. The measure is based on a conditional version of the CAPM and provides failure probabilities for each of the market sectors over the last 8 years. From 2000 to 2008, we observe a declining trend for the average of the probabilities. After, we try to improve our understanding on the sources of systematic risk in Brazil. Domestic macroeconomic factors such as the exchange rate and spread were found to be the most significant variables to explain these probabilities.

We have also estimated panel regressions for the default probabilities using three different methods. We could observe great significance in variables such as the exchange and the interest rate, the national stock market index and also in the industrial production index. Furthermore, the fixed effects model is able to explain 56% of the change in the default probabilities. The MST and the Taxonomy Hierarchical Tree analysis designed with these probabilities also tell us that the Brazilian sectors cluster together in groups centralized by the International Oil & Gas and the Utilities sectors.

We believe that the results obtained in this paper are of vital importance to risk management. With the measure of default probabilities it is possible to assess the risk associated to the economic sectors that were analyzed. Moreover, with the design of the network involving each sector, the researcher can have a broader view of the system, being able to observe how sectors are interconnected and whether they form clusters. This analysis opens possibilities of minimizing risks associated to loans and investments through diversification.

#### References

- Ackermann, J., 2008. The subprime crisis and its consequences. Journal of Financial Stability 4, 329–337.
- Aharony, J., Swary, I., 1996. Additional evidence on the information-based contagion effects of bank failures. Journal of Banking and Finance 20, 57– 69.
- Albert, R., Barabasi, A. L., 2002. Statistical mechanics of complex networks. Reviews of Modern Physics 74, 47–97.
- Ammer, J., Packer, F., 2000. How consistent are credit ratings? A geographic and sectoral analysis of default risk. The Journal of Fixed Income 10.
- Angkinand, A. P., 2009. Banking regulation and the output cost of banking crises. Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money 19, 240–257.
- Arellano, M., 2003. Panel Data Econometrics. Oxford University Press.
- Arellano, M., Bond, S., 1991. Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte carlo evidence and an application to employment equations. The Review of Economic Studies 58, 277–297.
- Barrell, R., Davis, E. P., Pomerantz, O., 2006. Costs of financial instability, household-sector balance sheets and consumption. Journal of Financial Stability 2 (2), 194–216.
- Bauerle, N., 2002. Risk management in credit risk portfolios with correlated assets. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics 30, 187–198.
- Berardi, A., Ciraolo, S., Trova, M., 2004. Predicting default probabilities and implementing trading stratagies for emerging markets bond portfolios. Emerging Markets Review 5, 447–469.
- Boccaletti, S., Latora, V., Moreno, Y., Chavez, M., Hwang, D. U., 2006. Complex networks: structure and dynamics. Physics Reports 424, 175–308.

- Boss, M., Summer, M., Thurner, S., Mar. 2004. Contagion flow through banking networks. Quantitative finance papers, arXiv.org.
- Byström, H., 2004. The market's view on the probability of banking sector failure: cross-country comparisions. Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money 14, 419–438.
- Byström, H., Worasinchai, L., Chongsithipol, S., 2005. Default risk, systematic risk and thai firms before, during and after the asian crisis. Research in International Business and Finance 19, 95–110.
- Cajueiro, D. O., Tabak, B. M., 2008. The role of banks in the brazilian interbank market: Does bank type matter? Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 387 (27), 6825 – 6836.
- Clare, A., 1995. Using the arbitrage pricing theory to calculate the probability of financial institution failure: Note. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 27, 920–926.
- Clare, A., Priestley, R., 1998. Risk factors in the malaysian stock market. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal 6, 103–114.
- Clare, A., Priestley, R., 2002. Calculation the probability of failure of the norwegian banking sector. Journal of Multinational Financial Management 12, 21–40.
- Coelho, R., Gilmore, C., Lucey, B. M., 2006. The evolution of interdependence in world equity markets - evidence from minimum spanning trees. Physica A 376.
- Coelho, R., Gilmore, C. G., Lucey, B., Richmond, P., Hutzler, S., 2007. The evolution of interdependence in world equity markets– evidence from minimum spanning trees. Physica A 376, 455–466.
- Costa, L. F., Rodrigues, F. A., Travieso, G., Boas, P. R. V., 2007. Characterization of complex networks: a survey of measurements. Advances in Physics 56, 167–242.
- Dietsch, M., Petey, J., 2004. Should sme exposures be treated as retail or corporate exposures? a comparative analysis of default probabilities and asset correlations in franch and german smes. Journal of Banking and Finance 28, 773–788.
- Hawkesby, C., Marsh, I. W., Stevens, I., 2007. Comovements in the equity prices of large complex financial institutions. Journal of Financial Stability 2, 391–411.
- Hoggarth, G., Reis, R., Saporta, V., 2002. Costs of banking system instability: Some empirical evidence. Journal of Banking & Finance 26 (5), 825–855.
- Huang, W.-Q., Zhuang, X.-T., Yao, S., 2009. A network analysis of the chinese stock market. Physica A 388, 2956–2964.
- Iori, G., Jafarey, S., Padilha, F. G., 2006. Systemic risk on the interbank market. Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization 61, 525–542.
- Jung, W.-S., Chae, S., Yang, J.-S., Moon, H.-T., 2006. Characteristics of the korean stock market correlations. Physica A 361, 263–271.
- Mantegna, R. N., 1999. Hierarchical structure in financial markets. The European Physical Journal B 11, 193–197.

- Mantegna, R. N., Stanley, H. E., 1999. An Introduction to Econophysics: Correlations and Complexity in Finance. Cambridge University Press.
- Matteo, T. D., Aste, T., Hyde, S., Ramsden, S., 2005. Interest rates hierarchical structure. Physica A 355.
- Matteo, T. D., Aste, T., Mantegna, R. N., 2004. An interest rates cluster analysis. Physica A 339.
- Newey, W. K., West, K. D., 1987. A simple, positive definite, heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent covariance matrix. Econometrica 55 (3), 703–708.
- Nier, E., Yang, J., Yorulmazer, T., Alentorn, A., 2007. Network models and financial stability. Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control 31, 2033– 2060.
- Onnela, J.-P., Chakraborti, A., Kaski, K., Kertész, J., 2002. Dynamic asset trees and black monday. Physica A 324.
- Onnela, J.-P., Chakraborti, A., Kaski, K., Kertesz, J., Kanto, A., 2003. Dynamics of market correlations: Taxonomy and portfolio analysis. Physical Review E 68, 056110.
- Tabak, B. M., Serra, T. R., Cajueiro, D. O., 2009. The expectation hypothesis of interest rates and network theory: The case of brazil. Physica A 388, 1137–1149.
- Tabak, B. M., Staub, R., 2007. Assessing financial instability: The case of brazil. Reasearch in International Business and Finance 21, 188–202.
- White, H., 1980. A heteroskedacity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroskedacity. Econometrica 48, 817–838.

Market	Mean	Maximum	Minimum	Std. Dev.	Skewness	Kurtosis	Jarque-Bera
Media	6.4121%	0.2825	9.84E-04	0.05909	1.3166	4.70	$40.87578^{*}$
Broadcast and Entertainment	6.3935%	0.2823	9.86E-04	0.05895	1.3230	4.73	$41.63556^{*}$
Retail	1.3392%	0.0972	$4.90\mathrm{E}\text{-}08$	0.02163	2.0179	6.54	$119.945^{*}$
Broadline Retail	0.6059%	0.0478	2.20E-05	0.00954	1.9689	6.80	$124.6459^*$
Water	0.5987%	0.0255	1.15E-03	0.00418	1.9420	7.75	156.9765*
Gas/Water/Multiutilities	0.5702%	0.0343	4.15E-04	0.00541	2.4144	10.91	358.0002*
Tobacco	0.4962%	0.0339	1.97E-04	0.00573	2.3957	9.86	291.9157*
Chemicals	0.4899%	0.0959	3.42E-09	0.01477	4.8665	27.70	2936.999*
Alternative Electricity	0.3970%	0.0262	6.99 E- 07	0.00493	1.9285	7.15	133.746*
Industrial Good and Services	0.3604%	0.1011	1.27E-09	0.01331	5.7956	38.85	$5913.484^*$
Iron and Steel	0.3388%	0.0899	7.67 E-07	0.00982	7.1571	61.99	$15351.15^*$
International Oil and Gas	0.3276%	0.0375	3.50E-05	0.00601	3.4984	16.40	951.9748*
Industrial Metal and Mines	0.3100%	0.0926	1.09E-07	0.01012	7.2482	62.88	15813.79*
Oil and Gas Production	0.3075%	0.0348	2.98E-05	0.00579	3.4647	15.76	878.8194*
Electricity	0.1755%	0.0194	9.19E-09	0.00292	3.2169	16.35	915.2544*
Brewers	0.1522%	0.0315	9.37 E-09	0.00444	4.4613	25.43	2428.358*
Beverages	0.1477%	0.0310	8.01 E-09	0.00440	4.4610	25.19	2382.577*
Utilities	0.1376%	0.0158	3.48E-09	0.00237	3.3208	17.14	1017.049*
Specification Chemicals	0.1206%	0.0176	1.29E-07	0.00284	3.9555	19.35	1375.074*
Consumer Electricity	0.0841%	0.0196	2.26E-06	0.00247	5.6604	39.00	5933.256*
Basic Resource	0.0673%	0.0103	8.79E-09	0.00180	3.6761	16.60	995.8931*
Paper	0.0545%	0.0168	9.08E-09	0.00230	5.8910	37.79	5620.706*
Financials	0.0377%	0.0126	2.09E-08	0.00163	6.4280	44.82	7977.1*
Personal and Household Goods	0.0321%	0.0033	2.06E-06	0.00051	2.9255	14.63	706.5487*
Food and Drug Retail	0.0277%	0.0072	2.74E-13	0.00102	4.9371	28.84	3188.671*
Telecom	0.0206%	0.0031	2.80E-08	0.00056	4.0631	19.34	1388.173*
Fixed Line Telecommunications	0.0192%	0.0026	1.22E-07	0.00047	3.8555	18.22	1212.877*
Banks	0.0129%	0.0062	2.12E-08	0.00064	8.6251	80.54	26288.89*
Speciality Financials	0.0085%	0.0008	1.07E-07	0.00017	2.7221	9.90	322.0301*
Forestry and Paper	0.0075%	0.0016	1.82E-09	0.00024	4.6063	24.81	2336.458*

# Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

 $Probabilities \ of \ default, \ ranked \ in \ decreasing \ order. \ The \ symbol \ * \ stands \ for \ statistical \ significance \ at \ the \ 1\% \ level$ 

Industrial interal and inmes	J	*00000¥	1 904000	1 111 7 X X	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0011200	**071101 0		10010	×**u01010 0	1000000
	coet.	+87.87.9G.J	-1.304888	14.71741**	-2.719689	-8.254438	8.507546**	-1.UI4040	12870.61	***G9/.01/.0 <b>.8</b> -	0.203601
	p-value	0.0000	0.1783	0.0391	0.3966	0.3648	0.0390	0.1651	0.1856	0.0897	
Iron and Steel	coef.	-7.370158	-0.578399	13.90868	-2.501015	1.768415	$9.236813^{*}$	-5.466020	16.96743	-6.675053	0.220039
	p-value	0.0000	0.4490	0.0123	0.3544	0.8268	0.0069	0.2336	0.1747	0.1021	
Industrial Good and Services	coef.	-10.88001*	0.661191	10.50364	-8.868970**	33.31009	$20.27092^{*}$	-2.398362	13.78652	0.044178	0.192211
	p-value	0.0000	0.4296	0.1447	0.0358	0.1060	0.0027	0.7692	0.5456	0.9948	
Beverages	coef.	-11.15409*	$1.508119^{*}$	$11.71608^{**}$	-0.331912	21.56031	$12.19394^{**}$	$-13.61594^{***}$	34.25593	-9.002506	0.176335
	p-value	0.0000	0.0038	0.0274	0.9346	0.1307	0.0125	0.0652	0.1193	0.1835	
Brewers	coef.	-11.10309*	$1.580907^{*}$	$11.22537^{**}$	-0.304574	20.92299	$11.84304^{**}$	-13.57833*	34.41303	-9.009758	0.172613
	p-value	0.0000	0.0017	0.0311	0.9390	0.1388	0.0141	0.0634	0.1187	0.1784	
Oil and Gas Production	coef.	-7.351073*	$0.895007^{*}$	$6.150756^{*}$	-0.521231	$11.75572^{**}$	$5.220727^{*}$	$-4.651838^{**}$	6.122886	-1.381934	0.233445
	p-value	0.0000	0.0001	0.0020	0.7082	0.0272	0.0029	0.0345	0.4200	0.5651	
Personal and Household Goods	coef.	$-9.158406^{*}$	-0.146870	5.877077**	3.005556	5.759820	$4.342761^{**}$	1.029457	3.102345	-2.241658	0.064985
	p-value	0.0000	0.6892	0.0222	0.0855	0.3003	0.0438	0.7568	0.7826	0.5294	
Tobacco	coef.	$-5.834734^{*}$	-0.027463	$3.406483^{***}$	0.574752	8.362448**	$3.962020^{*}$	-0.569164	1.344648	-1.864926	0.122386
	p-value	0.0000	0.9086	0.0944	0.5958	0.0363	0.0018	0.7828	0.8399	0.4019	
Retail	coef.	-7.944058*	$2.388779^{*}$	6.372544	-0.996323	$27.16017^{**}$	14.20563*	-7.582603	-2.466235	-1.518712	0.224313
	p-value	0.0000	0.0046	0.2165	0.8052	0.0169	0.0064	0.1799	0.8581	0.7132	
Food and Drug Retail	coef.	-15.84976*	1.298060	2.653975	-1.176713	30.12627	$16.90471^{**}$	-16.97882	-0.342065	-9.781423	0.045478
	p-value	0.0000	0.3638	0.8390	0.8494	0.2209	0.0718	0.2084	0.9910	0.2873	
Broadline Retail	coef.	-7.118793*	0.632811	4.947176	-0.764007	15.13527	$12.70140^{*}$	-4.192759	-0.612040	0.392901	0.263384
	p-value	0.0000	0.2213	0.1826	0.7437	0.1988	0.0011	0.2963	0.9522	0.9052	
Media	coef.	-3.916665*	$1.146558^*$	$3.516254^{***}$	0.257559	$12.56217^{*}$	$4.283809^{*}$	0.212118	4.791558	$-3.136591^{***}$	0.263298
	p-value	0.0000	0.0000	0.0751	0.8298	0.0007	0.0161	0.9346	0.4554	0.0775	
International Oil and Gas	coef.	-7.301793*	$0.945812^{*}$	$5.955206^{*}$	-0.565238	$12.01459^{**}$	$5.461347^{*}$	$-4.95113^{**}$	6.385547	-1.541000	0.256625
	p-value	0.0000	0.0000	0.0028	0.6731	0.0234	0.0017	0.0195	0.3908	0.5236	
Broadcast and Entertainment	coef.	$-3.921331^{*}$	$1.150493^{*}$	$3.515430^{***}$	0.237541	$12.53776^{*}$	$4.293837^{**}$	0.223423	4.696265	$-3.119995^{***}$	0.264847
	p-value	0.0000	0.0000	0.0746	0.8426	0.0007	0.0156	0.9310	0.4623	0.0783	
Telecom	coef.	$-11.13277^{*}$	-0.138400	7.036593	-0.473647	$24.04516^{**}$	$11.82580^{***}$	-6.954333	1.831297	$-8.400662^{***}$	0.210666
	p-value	0.0000	0.8102	0.1140	0.8735	0.0308	0.0046	0.2110	0.8913	0.0653	
Fixed Line Telecommunications	coef.	-10.60529*	-0.123934	4.861569	-0.252541	$21.40344^{**}$	$9.240069^{*}$	-4.915916	-0.674042	$-8.085648^{**}$	0.200829
	p-value	0.0000	0.8022	0.1769	0.9149	0.0183	0.0059	0.3122	0.9554	0.0379	
Utilities	coef.	-9.356747*	$1.612017^{*}$	5.059278	0.887485	$19.42822^{**}$	$11.04391^{**}$	-6.596252	-4.297158	-3.591418	0.141034
	p-value	0.0000	0.0020	0.3110	0.7683	0.0279	0.0169	0.2138	0.7643	0.3423	
Electricity	coef.	-8.925697*	$1.512000^{*}$	5.164055	1.081171	$17.45961^{**}$	$10.55152^{**}$	-5.332927	-4.769552	-3.233894	0.135576
	p-value	0.0000	0.0026	0.2837	0.6944	0.0331	0.0161	0.2936	0.7220	0.3692	

Table 2. Regressions of Default Probabilities in different market sectors.

	100		$\frac{\Delta(EH_t)}{\Delta(St} = \frac{\Delta(St)}{\Delta(St)}$	$\frac{\Delta(Oil_t)}{\Delta(ER_t)} \frac{\Delta(ER_t)}{\Delta(ER_t)} \frac{\Delta(S_I)}{\Delta(S_I)}$	$\frac{\Delta(R_t)}{\Delta(R_t)} \frac{\Delta(Oil_t)}{\Delta(Oil_t)} \frac{\Delta(ER_t)}{\Delta(S_t)} \frac{\Delta(S_t)}{\Delta(S_t)}$	IPCA $\Delta(R_t)$ $\Delta(Oil_t)$ $\Delta(ER_t)$ $\Delta(S_I)$	C IPCA $\Delta(R_t) \Delta(Oil_t) \Delta(ER_t) \Delta(S_t)$
9393 1.884	193	39 1.3.	-0.155139 1.3	0.571575 -0.155139 1.3.	0.817395 0.571575 -0.155139 1.3.	0.789416* 0.817395 0.571575 -0.155139 1.3.	-9.162521* 0.789416* 0.817395 0.571575 -0.155139 1.3.
673 U.04 406** _4 735	0.067 25640	*	0.9831 13 00614** 7 ·	0.7367 0.9831 0.943870 13.09614** 7	0.8189 0.7367 0.9831 3 000800 0.043870 13 00614** 7	0.0078 0.8189 0.7367 0.9831 1 383370* 3 023822 0 043870 13 00614** 7 ·	0.0000 0.0078 0.8189 0.7367 0.9831 -7.509533* 1.383370* 3.029899 0.043870 13.00614** 7
258 0.21	0.025		0.0323	0.6500 0.0323	0.4289 0.6500 0.0323	0.0005 0.4289 0.6500 0.0323	0.0000 0.0005 0.4289 0.6500 0.0323
702** -4.6377	2.47070	*	$10.96514^{*}$	-0.605172 10.96514*	$1.814557 -0.605172 10.96514^{*}$	0.484764* 1.814557 -0.605172 10.96514* 2	-5.797405* 0.484764* 1.814557 -0.605172 10.96514* 2
254 0.01	0.025		0.0000	0.6093 $0.0000$	0.2134 $0.6093$ $0.0000$	0.0009 $0.2134$ $0.6093$ $0.0000$	0.0000 $0.0009$ $0.2134$ $0.6093$ $0.0000$
$350^{***} - 3.390$	.18885(	*.	8.207801* 1	$-0.259834$ $8.207801^{*}$ 1	$1.498355 - 0.259834 8.207801^{*} 1$	$0.285445^{*}$ 1.498355 -0.259834 8.207801* 1	-5.468333* $0.285445*$ $1.498355$ $-0.259834$ $8.207801*$ $1$
863 0.00	0.086		0.0000	0.7603 0.0000	0.1229 $0.7603$ $0.0000$	0.0064 $0.1229$ $0.7603$ $0.0000$	0.0000 $0.0064$ $0.1229$ $0.7603$ $0.0000$
5843 -4.010	2.9458	9	9.288916	$1.838052  ext{ 9.288916}$	2.969787 1.838052 9.288916	$1.170075^{***}$ 2.969787 $1.838052$ 9.288916	$-12.08784^{*}$ 1.170075*** 2.969787 1.838052 9.288916
405 0.52	0.540		0.4991	0.5104 $0.4991$	0.5392 $0.5104$ $0.4991$	0.0624 $0.5392$ $0.5104$ $0.4991$	0.0000 $0.0624$ $0.5392$ $0.5104$ $0.4991$
296*** -2.698	7.219296	ŝ	6.375743	3.355064  6.375743	$8.951088^{*}$ $3.355064$ $6.375743$	$0.519403$ $8.951088^{*}$ $3.355064$ $6.375743$	$-12.24178^{*}$ 0.519403 8.951088 <sup>*</sup> 3.355064 6.375743
998 0.62	0.099		0.5694	0.1097 $0.5694$	0.0090 $0.1097$ $0.5694$	0.3580 $0.0090$ $0.1097$ $0.5694$	0.0000 $0.3580$ $0.0090$ $0.1097$ $0.5694$
3156 2.21	4.7731	3	-8.028583	2.214343 - 8.028583	6.663401 2.214343 -8.028583	-0.864553 $6.663401$ $2.214343$ $-8.028583$	$-10.86604^{*}  -0.864553  6.663401  2.214343  -8.028583$
461 0.67	0.146		0.3246	0.3400 $0.3246$	0.2868 $0.3400$ $0.3246$	0.1373 $0.2868$ $0.3400$ $0.3246$	0.0000 $0.1373$ $0.2868$ $0.3400$ $0.3246$
2278 -13.289	5.5722	*	$29.71079^{**}$	$2.102501$ $29.71079^{**}$	$1.342104$ $2.102501$ $29.71079^{**}$	2.548403* 1.342104 2.102501 29.71079**	$-9.783136^{*}$ $2.548403^{*}$ $1.342104$ $2.102501$ $29.71079^{**}$
242 0.02	0.224		0.0156	0.5031 $0.0156$	0.8280 0.5031 0.0156	0.0008 0.8280 0.5031 0.0156	0.0000 0.0008 0.8280 0.5031 0.0156
5309* -5.620	14.353(	*	$38.54339^{*}$	1.904138 38.54339*	$6.688077$ 1.904138 $38.54339^*$	$1.839875^{*}$ $6.688077$ $1.904138$ $38.54339^{*}$	$-10.39271^{*}$ 1.839875* 6.688077 1.904138 38.54339*
018 0.25	0.001		0.0022	0.5711 $0.0022$	0.0815 $0.5711$ $0.0022$	0.0002 $0.0815$ $0.5711$ $0.0022$	0.0000 $0.0002$ $0.0815$ $0.5711$ $0.0022$
978*** -9.938	7.472978	8	-17.93778	-0.297732 -17.93778	15.53166** -0.297732 -17.93778	$-2.100143^{**}$ $15.53166^{**}$ $-0.297732$ $-17.93778$	-9.301607* $-2.100143**$ $15.53166**$ $-0.297732$ $-17.93778$
872 0.08	0.087		0.1108	0.9271 0.1108	0.0278 $0.9271$ $0.1108$	0.0236 $0.0278$ $0.9271$ $0.1108$	0.0000 0.0236 0.0278 0.9271 0.1108
3276* -11.60	18.032	* *	$28.85039^{**}$	-0.349742 28.85039**	8.999254* -0.349742 28.85039**	$0.049076$ $8.999254^{*}$ $-0.349742$ $28.85039^{**}$	-12.67129* 0.049076 8.999254* $-0.349742$ 28.85039**
000 0.02	0.000		0.0134	0.8969  0.0134	0.0089 $0.8969$ $0.0134$	0.9102 $0.0089$ $0.8969$ $0.0134$	0.0000 $0.9102$ $0.0089$ $0.8969$ $0.0134$
2433* -14.78	13.424	* *	$25.32640^{**}$	$-1.683909 25.32640^{**}$	$6.975848^{***} - 1.683909 25.32640^{**}$	$0.620603$ $6.975848^{***}$ -1.683909 $25.32640^{**}$	$-11.35351^{*}$ 0.620603 6.975848*** $-1.683909$ 25.32640**
008 0.00	0000		0.0333	0 6197 0 0333	0.0877 0.6127 0.0333	0.2024 $0.0877$ $0.6127$ $0.0333$	0.0000 $0.2024$ $0.0877$ $0.6127$ $0.0333$

Table 3. Regressions of Default Probabilities in different market sectors.

The symbols \*,\*\*,\*\*\* stand for statistical significance at 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. Standard errors are corrected for heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation (Newey and West, 1987). T-statistics are presented in parentheses. We use IPCA as a measure for inflation,  $\Delta(R_d)$  as the monthly change of the 12-monthly interest rate,  $\Delta(Oit_d)$  as the monthly change of the price of Crude Oil (Brent),  $\Delta(ER_t)$  as the monthly change of the price of  $Error (P, Out, t_d)$  as the monthly change of the price of  $Error (P, Out, t_d)$  as the monthly change of the price of  $Error (P, Out, t_d)$  as the monthly change of the price of  $Error (P, Out, t_d)$  as monthly change of the spread,  $\Delta(IBOV_t)$  the monthly change of the index for Sao Paulo Stock Market,  $\Delta(Production_t)$  as the monthly change for the Brazilian industrial production,  $\Delta(Confidence_t)$  as monthly change of the consumer confidence index and  $\overline{R}^2$  is the adjusted degree of explanation. The exchange rate, interest interest rates spreads and the Bovespa index are correlated. Therefore, before we use intermediary regressions to derive orthogonal explanatory variables for the regression to explain default probabilities. The  $\Delta(IBOV_t), \Delta(ER_t)$  and  $\Delta(Spread_t)$  are the orthogonal residuals of intermediary regressions, avoiding multicolinearity.

	(1)	(2)	(3)
VARIABLES	PD	PD	PD
$PD_{t-1}$	0.643*	0.701*	0.918*
	(0.0142)	(0.0132)	(0.00751)
IPCA	0.369*	0.213*	-0.00630
	(0.0868)	(0.0750)	(0.0545)
$\Lambda(B_i)$	5 156*	5.066*	3 185*
$\Delta(n_t)$	(0.609)	(0.568)	(0.428)
$\Lambda(O;I)$	0 602	0.707***	0.670**
$\Delta(Ou_t)$	-0.693	-0.707***	-0.679***
	(0.434)	(0.420)	(0.321)
$\Delta(ER_t)$	10.09*	$9.013^{*}$	$5.634^{*}$
	(1.222)	(1.131)	(0.847)
$\Delta(IBOV_t)$	-5.100*	-4.986*	-3.398*
	(0.759)	(0.728)	(0.555)
$\Delta(Spread_t)$	0.724	0.420	-1.292*
	(0.518)	(0.455)	(0.319)
$\Delta(Production_t)$	11.22*	10.26*	6.432*
	(2.280)	(2.305)	(1.810)
$\Delta(Confidence_t)$	-1.567**	-1.512**	-0.491
	(0.655)	(0.648)	(0.502)
С	-3.315*	-2.743*	-0.589*
	(0.173)	(0.133)	(0.0704)
Observations	2940	2940	2940
$\overline{R}^2$		0.561	
Number of sectors	30	30	30
Wald Test			16002*
Modified Wald Test			6478*
Hausman Specification Test		97,33*	

Table 4. Panel Regressions for Default Probabilities.

This table presents the results regressing macro variables on default probabilities using the (1) Random Effects Model with AR(1) disturbance, (2) Fixed Effects Model, (3) FGLS method, allowing for serial correlation and heteroscedasticity. The symbols \*,\*\*,\*\*\* stand for statistical significance at 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. The values in parenthesis are the standard errors of each variable. We use IPCA as a measure for inflation,  $\Delta(R_t)$  as the monthly change of the 12-months interest rate,  $\Delta(Oit_t)$  as the monthly change of the spread,  $\Delta(IBOV_t)$  the monthly change of the index for Sao Paulo Stock Market,  $\Delta(Production_t)$  as the monthly change for the Brazilian industrial production,  $\Delta(Confidence_t)$  as the monthly change of the consumer confidence index and  $\overline{R}^2$  is the adjusted degree of explanation.



Fig. 1. Average of the probabilities of default.



Fig. 2. Probabilities of default in different market sectors, shown in logarithmic scale.



Fig. 3. Probabilities of default in different market sectors, shown in logarithmic scale.



Fig. 4. Probabilities of default in different market sectors, shown in logarithmic scale.



Fig. 5. Probabilities of default in different market sectors, shown in logarithmic scale.



Fig. 6. Plot of the MST of a network connecting the full sample of probabilities of default for the period from January 3, 2000 to June 30, 2008.

Financials
Banks
Speciality Financials
Personal & Household Goods
Tobacco
Oil & Gas Production
International Oil & Gas
Industrial Metal and Mines
Iron & Steel
Basic Resources
Forestry & Paper
Paper
Utilities
Electricity
Alternative Electricity
Retail
Broadline
Telecom.
Fixed Line Tel.
Consumer Electricity
Gas/Water/Utilities
Water
Specification Chemicals
Chemicals
Food & Drugs
Media
Broadcast & Ent.
Beverages
Brewers
Industrial Goods and Services

Fig. 7. Plot of the Taxonomy Hierarchical tree of the subdominant ultrametric associated to the MST of the full sample of probabilities of default.



Fig. 8. Plot of the MST of a network connecting the full sample of probabilities of default for the period from 2000 to 2004.



Fig. 9. Plot of the MST of a network connecting the full sample of probabilities of default for the period from 2004 to 2008.

# **Banco Central do Brasil**

# Trabalhos para Discussão

Os Trabalhos para Discussão podem ser acessados na internet, no formato PDF, no endereço: http://www.bc.gov.br

# **Working Paper Series**

Working Papers in PDF format can be downloaded from: http://www.bc.gov.br

1	<b>Implementing Inflation Targeting in Brazil</b> Joel Bogdanski, Alexandre Antonio Tombini and Sérgio Ribeiro da Costa Werlang	Jul/2000
2	Política Monetária e Supervisão do Sistema Financeiro Nacional no Banco Central do Brasil Eduardo Lundberg	Jul/2000
	Monetary Policy and Banking Supervision Functions on the Central Bank Eduardo Lundberg	Jul/2000
3	<b>Private Sector Participation: a Theoretical Justification of the Brazilian</b> <b>Position</b> <i>Sérgio Ribeiro da Costa Werlang</i>	Jul/2000
4	<b>An Information Theory Approach to the Aggregation of Log-Linear</b> <b>Models</b> <i>Pedro H. Albuquerque</i>	Jul/2000
5	<b>The Pass-Through from Depreciation to Inflation: a Panel Study</b> Ilan Goldfajn and Sérgio Ribeiro da Costa Werlang	Jul/2000
6	<b>Optimal Interest Rate Rules in Inflation Targeting Frameworks</b> José Alvaro Rodrigues Neto, Fabio Araújo and Marta Baltar J. Moreira	Jul/2000
7	<b>Leading Indicators of Inflation for Brazil</b> Marcelle Chauvet	Sep/2000
8	<b>The Correlation Matrix of the Brazilian Central Bank's Standard Model</b> <b>for Interest Rate Market Risk</b> <i>José Alvaro Rodrigues Neto</i>	Sep/2000
9	<b>Estimating Exchange Market Pressure and Intervention Activity</b> <i>Emanuel-Werner Kohlscheen</i>	Nov/2000
10	Análise do Financiamento Externo a uma Pequena Economia Aplicação da Teoria do Prêmio Monetário ao Caso Brasileiro: 1991–1998 Carlos Hamilton Vasconcelos Araújo e Renato Galvão Flôres Júnior	Mar/2001
11	<b>A Note on the Efficient Estimation of Inflation in Brazil</b> <i>Michael F. Bryan and Stephen G. Cecchetti</i>	Mar/2001
12	A Test of Competition in Brazilian Banking Márcio I. Nakane	Mar/2001

13	Modelos de Previsão de Insolvência Bancária no Brasil Marcio Magalhães Janot	Mar/2001
14	<b>Evaluating Core Inflation Measures for Brazil</b> Francisco Marcos Rodrigues Figueiredo	Mar/2001
15	Is It Worth Tracking Dollar/Real Implied Volatility? Sandro Canesso de Andrade and Benjamin Miranda Tabak	Mar/2001
16	Avaliação das Projeções do Modelo Estrutural do Banco Central do Brasil para a Taxa de Variação do IPCA Sergio Afonso Lago Alves	Mar/2001
	<b>Evaluation of the Central Bank of Brazil Structural Model's Inflation Forecasts in an Inflation Targeting Framework</b> <i>Sergio Afonso Lago Alves</i>	Jul/2001
17	<b>Estimando o Produto Potencial Brasileiro: uma Abordagem de Função de Produção</b> <i>Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva Filho</i>	Abr/2001
	<b>Estimating Brazilian Potential Output: a Production Function Approach</b> <i>Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva Filho</i>	Aug/2002
18	<b>A Simple Model for Inflation Targeting in Brazil</b> Paulo Springer de Freitas and Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos	Apr/2001
19	<b>Uncovered Interest Parity with Fundamentals: a Brazilian Exchange Rate Forecast Model</b> <i>Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos, Paulo Springer de Freitas and Fabio Araújo</i>	May/2001
20	Credit Channel without the LM Curve Victorio Y. T. Chu and Márcio I. Nakane	May/2001
21	<b>Os Impactos Econômicos da CPMF: Teoria e Evidência</b> <i>Pedro H. Albuquerque</i>	Jun/2001
22	<b>Decentralized Portfolio Management</b> Paulo Coutinho and Benjamin Miranda Tabak	Jun/2001
23	Os Efeitos da CPMF sobre a Intermediação Financeira Sérgio Mikio Koyama e Márcio I. Nakane	Jul/2001
24	Inflation Targeting in Brazil: Shocks, Backward-Looking Prices, and IMF Conditionality Joel Bogdanski, Paulo Springer de Freitas, Ilan Goldfajn and Alexandre Antonio Tombini	Aug/2001
25	Inflation Targeting in Brazil: Reviewing Two Years of Monetary Policy 1999/00 Pedro Fachada	Aug/2001
26	Inflation Targeting in an Open Financially Integrated Emerging Economy: the Case of Brazil Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos	Aug/2001
27	<b>Complementaridade e Fungibilidade dos Fluxos de Capitais Internacionais</b> <i>Carlos Hamilton Vasconcelos Araújo e Renato Galvão Flôres Júnior</i>	Set/2001

28	<b>Regras Monetárias e Dinâmica Macroeconômica no Brasil: uma Abordagem de Expectativas Racionais</b> <i>Marco Antonio Bonomo e Ricardo D. Brito</i>	Nov/2001
29	<b>Using a Money Demand Model to Evaluate Monetary Policies in Brazil</b> <i>Pedro H. Albuquerque and Solange Gouvêa</i>	Nov/2001
30	<b>Testing the Expectations Hypothesis in the Brazilian Term Structure of</b> <b>Interest Rates</b> <i>Benjamin Miranda Tabak and Sandro Canesso de Andrade</i>	Nov/2001
31	Algumas Considerações sobre a Sazonalidade no IPCA Francisco Marcos R. Figueiredo e Roberta Blass Staub	Nov/2001
32	<b>Crises Cambiais e Ataques Especulativos no Brasil</b> <i>Mauro Costa Miranda</i>	Nov/2001
33	Monetary Policy and Inflation in Brazil (1975-2000): a VAR Estimation André Minella	Nov/2001
34	<b>Constrained Discretion and Collective Action Problems: Reflections on the Resolution of International Financial Crises</b> Arminio Fraga and Daniel Luiz Gleizer	Nov/2001
35	<b>Uma Definição Operacional de Estabilidade de Preços</b> <i>Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva Filho</i>	Dez/2001
36	<b>Can Emerging Markets Float? Should They Inflation Target?</b> <i>Barry Eichengreen</i>	Feb/2002
37	Monetary Policy in Brazil: Remarks on the Inflation Targeting Regime, Public Debt Management and Open Market Operations Luiz Fernando Figueiredo, Pedro Fachada and Sérgio Goldenstein	Mar/2002
38	Volatilidade Implícita e Antecipação de Eventos de <i>Stress</i> : um Teste para o Mercado Brasileiro <i>Frederico Pechir Gomes</i>	Mar/2002
39	<b>Opções sobre Dólar Comercial e Expectativas a Respeito do Comportamento da Taxa de Câmbio</b> <i>Paulo Castor de Castro</i>	Mar/2002
40	<b>Speculative Attacks on Debts, Dollarization and Optimum Currency</b> <b>Areas</b> <i>Aloisio Araujo and Márcia Leon</i>	Apr/2002
41	Mudanças de Regime no Câmbio Brasileiro Carlos Hamilton V. Araújo e Getúlio B. da Silveira Filho	Jun/2002
42	Modelo Estrutural com Setor Externo: Endogenização do Prêmio de Risco e do Câmbio Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos, Sérgio Afonso Lago Alves e Gil Riella	Jun/2002
43	<b>The Effects of the Brazilian ADRs Program on Domestic Market</b> <b>Efficiency</b> <i>Benjamin Miranda Tabak and Eduardo José Araújo Lima</i>	Jun/2002

44	<b>Estrutura Competitiva, Produtividade Industrial e Liberação Comercial no Brasil</b> Pedro Cavalcanti Ferreira e Osmani Teixeira de Carvalho Guillén	Jun/2002
45	<b>Optimal Monetary Policy, Gains from Commitment, and Inflation</b> <b>Persistence</b> <i>André Minella</i>	Aug/2002
46	<b>The Determinants of Bank Interest Spread in Brazil</b> Tarsila Segalla Afanasieff, Priscilla Maria Villa Lhacer and Márcio I. Nakane	Aug/2002
47	Indicadores Derivados de Agregados Monetários Fernando de Aquino Fonseca Neto e José Albuquerque Júnior	Set/2002
48	Should Government Smooth Exchange Rate Risk? Ilan Goldfajn and Marcos Antonio Silveira	Sep/2002
49	<b>Desenvolvimento do Sistema Financeiro e Crescimento Econômico no Brasil: Evidências de Causalidade</b> <i>Orlando Carneiro de Matos</i>	Set/2002
50	Macroeconomic Coordination and Inflation Targeting in a Two-Country Model Eui Jung Chang, Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos and Joanílio Rodolpho Teixeira	Sep/2002
51	<b>Credit Channel with Sovereign Credit Risk: an Empirical Test</b> Victorio Yi Tson Chu	Sep/2002
52	Generalized Hyperbolic Distributions and Brazilian Data José Fajardo and Aquiles Farias	Sep/2002
53	Inflation Targeting in Brazil: Lessons and Challenges André Minella, Paulo Springer de Freitas, Ilan Goldfajn and Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos	Nov/2002
54	Stock Returns and Volatility Benjamin Miranda Tabak and Solange Maria Guerra	Nov/2002
55	<b>Componentes de Curto e Longo Prazo das Taxas de Juros no Brasil</b> Carlos Hamilton Vasconcelos Araújo e Osmani Teixeira de Carvalho de Guillén	Nov/2002
56	Causality and Cointegration in Stock Markets: the Case of Latin America Benjamin Miranda Tabak and Eduardo José Araújo Lima	Dec/2002
57	As Leis de Falência: uma Abordagem Econômica Aloisio Araujo	Dez/2002
58	<b>The Random Walk Hypothesis and the Behavior of Foreign Capital</b> <b>Portfolio Flows: the Brazilian Stock Market Case</b> <i>Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Dec/2002
59	<b>Os Preços Administrados e a Inflação no Brasil</b> Francisco Marcos R. Figueiredo e Thaís Porto Ferreira	Dez/2002
60	<b>Delegated Portfolio Management</b> Paulo Coutinho and Benjamin Miranda Tabak	Dec/2002

61	O Uso de Dados de Alta Freqüência na Estimação da Volatilidade e do Valor em Risco para o Ibovespa João Maurício de Souza Moreira e Eduardo Facó Lemgruber	Dez/2002
62	<b>Taxa de Juros e Concentração Bancária no Brasil</b> Eduardo Kiyoshi Tonooka e Sérgio Mikio Koyama	Fev/2003
63	<b>Optimal Monetary Rules: the Case of Brazil</b> Charles Lima de Almeida, Marco Aurélio Peres, Geraldo da Silva e Souza and Benjamin Miranda Tabak	Feb/2003
64	Medium-Size Macroeconomic Model for the Brazilian Economy Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos and Sergio Afonso Lago Alves	Feb/2003
65	<b>On the Information Content of Oil Future Prices</b> <i>Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Feb/2003
66	<b>A Taxa de Juros de Equilíbrio: uma Abordagem Múltipla</b> Pedro Calhman de Miranda e Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos	Fev/2003
67	Avaliação de Métodos de Cálculo de Exigência de Capital para Risco de Mercado de Carteiras de Ações no Brasil Gustavo S. Araújo, João Maurício S. Moreira e Ricardo S. Maia Clemente	Fev/2003
68	<b>Real Balances in the Utility Function: Evidence for Brazil</b> Leonardo Soriano de Alencar and Márcio I. Nakane	Feb/2003
69	<b>r-filters: a Hodrick-Prescott Filter Generalization</b> Fabio Araújo, Marta Baltar Moreira Areosa and José Alvaro Rodrigues Neto	Feb/2003
70	<b>Monetary Policy Surprises and the Brazilian Term Structure of Interest</b> <b>Rates</b> <i>Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Feb/2003
71	<b>On Shadow-Prices of Banks in Real-Time Gross Settlement Systems</b> <i>Rodrigo Penaloza</i>	Apr/2003
72	<b>O Prêmio pela Maturidade na Estrutura a Termo das Taxas de Juros Brasileiras</b> Ricardo Dias de Oliveira Brito, Angelo J. Mont'Alverne Duarte e Osmani Teixeira de C. Guillen	Maio/2003
73	Análise de Componentes Principais de Dados Funcionais – uma Aplicação às Estruturas a Termo de Taxas de Juros Getúlio Borges da Silveira e Octavio Bessada	Maio/2003
74	Aplicação do Modelo de Black, Derman & Toy à Precificação de Opções Sobre Títulos de Renda Fixa Octavio Manuel Bessada Lion, Carlos Alberto Nunes Cosenza e César das Neves	Maio/2003
75	<b>Brazil's Financial System: Resilience to Shocks, no Currency</b> <b>Substitution, but Struggling to Promote Growth</b> <i>Ilan Goldfajn, Katherine Hennings and Helio Mori</i>	Jun/2003

76	Inflation Targeting in Emerging Market Economies Arminio Fraga, Ilan Goldfajn and André Minella	Jun/2003
77	Inflation Targeting in Brazil: Constructing Credibility under Exchange Rate Volatility André Minella, Paulo Springer de Freitas, Ilan Goldfajn and Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos	Jul/2003
78	<b>Contornando os Pressupostos de Black &amp; Scholes: Aplicação do Modelo de Precificação de Opções de Duan no Mercado Brasileiro</b> <i>Gustavo Silva Araújo, Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo, Antonio</i> <i>Carlos Figueiredo, Eduardo Facó Lemgruber</i>	Out/2003
79	Inclusão do Decaimento Temporal na Metodologia Delta-Gama para o Cálculo do VaR de Carteiras Compradas em Opções no Brasil Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo, Gustavo Silva Araújo, Eduardo Facó Lemgruber	Out/2003
80	Diferenças e Semelhanças entre Países da América Latina: uma Análise de <i>Markov Switching</i> para os Ciclos Econômicos de Brasil e Argentina Arnildo da Silva Correa	Out/2003
81	Bank Competition, Agency Costs and the Performance of the Monetary Policy Leonardo Soriano de Alencar and Márcio I. Nakane	Jan/2004
82	Carteiras de Opções: Avaliação de Metodologias de Exigência de Capital no Mercado Brasileiro Cláudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo e Gustavo Silva Araújo	Mar/2004
83	<b>Does Inflation Targeting Reduce Inflation? An Analysis for the OECD</b> <b>Industrial Countries</b> <i>Thomas Y. Wu</i>	May/2004
84	<b>Speculative Attacks on Debts and Optimum Currency Area: a Welfare Analysis</b> Aloisio Araujo and Marcia Leon	May/2004
85	<b>Risk Premia for Emerging Markets Bonds: Evidence from Brazilian</b> <b>Government Debt, 1996-2002</b> <i>André Soares Loureiro and Fernando de Holanda Barbosa</i>	May/2004
86	Identificação do Fator Estocástico de Descontos e Algumas Implicações sobre Testes de Modelos de Consumo Fabio Araujo e João Victor Issler	Maio/2004
87	Mercado de Crédito: uma Análise Econométrica dos Volumes de Crédito Total e Habitacional no Brasil Ana Carla Abrão Costa	Dez/2004
88	Ciclos Internacionais de Negócios: uma Análise de Mudança de Regime Markoviano para Brasil, Argentina e Estados Unidos Arnildo da Silva Correa e Ronald Otto Hillbrecht	Dez/2004
89	O Mercado de <i>Hedge</i> Cambial no Brasil: Reação das Instituições Financeiras a Intervenções do Banco Central Fernando N. de Oliveira	Dez/2004

90	Bank Privatization and Productivity: Evidence for Brazil Márcio I. Nakane and Daniela B. Weintraub	Dec/2004
91	<b>Credit Risk Measurement and the Regulation of Bank Capital and</b> <b>Provision Requirements in Brazil – a Corporate Analysis</b> <i>Ricardo Schechtman, Valéria Salomão Garcia, Sergio Mikio Koyama and</i> <i>Guilherme Cronemberger Parente</i>	Dec/2004
92	<b>Steady-State Analysis of an Open Economy General Equilibrium Model</b> <b>for Brazil</b> <i>Mirta Noemi Sataka Bugarin, Roberto de Goes Ellery Jr., Victor Gomes</i> <i>Silva, Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos</i>	Apr/2005
93	Avaliação de Modelos de Cálculo de Exigência de Capital para Risco Cambial Claudio H. da S. Barbedo, Gustavo S. Araújo, João Maurício S. Moreira e Ricardo S. Maia Clemente	Abr/2005
94	Simulação Histórica Filtrada: Incorporação da Volatilidade ao Modelo Histórico de Cálculo de Risco para Ativos Não-Lineares Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo, Gustavo Silva Araújo e Eduardo Facó Lemgruber	Abr/2005
95	<b>Comment on Market Discipline and Monetary Policy by Carl Walsh</b> <i>Maurício S. Bugarin and Fábia A. de Carvalho</i>	Apr/2005
96	O que É Estratégia: uma Abordagem Multiparadigmática para a Disciplina Anthero de Moraes Meirelles	Ago/2005
97	Finance and the Business Cycle: a Kalman Filter Approach with Markov Switching Ryan A. Compton and Jose Ricardo da Costa e Silva	Aug/2005
98	<b>Capital Flows Cycle: Stylized Facts and Empirical Evidences for Emerging Market Economies</b> <i>Helio Mori e Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos</i>	Aug/2005
99	Adequação das Medidas de Valor em Risco na Formulação da Exigência de Capital para Estratégias de Opções no Mercado Brasileiro Gustavo Silva Araújo, Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo,e Eduardo Facó Lemgruber	Set/2005
100	<b>Targets and Inflation Dynamics</b> Sergio A. L. Alves and Waldyr D. Areosa	Oct/2005
101	<b>Comparing Equilibrium Real Interest Rates: Different Approaches to</b> <b>Measure Brazilian Rates</b> <i>Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos and Márcio I. Nakane</i>	Mar/2006
102	Judicial Risk and Credit Market Performance: Micro Evidence from Brazilian Payroll Loans Ana Carla A. Costa and João M. P. de Mello	Apr/2006
103	<b>The Effect of Adverse Supply Shocks on Monetary Policy and Output</b> Maria da Glória D. S. Araújo, Mirta Bugarin, Marcelo Kfoury Muinhos and Jose Ricardo C. Silva	Apr/2006

104	<b>Extração de Informação de Opções Cambiais no Brasil</b> <i>Eui Jung Chang e Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Abr/2006
105	<b>Representing Roommate's Preferences with Symmetric Utilities</b> José Alvaro Rodrigues Neto	Apr/2006
106	<b>Testing Nonlinearities Between Brazilian Exchange Rates and Inflation</b> <b>Volatilities</b> <i>Cristiane R. Albuquerque and Marcelo Portugal</i>	May/2006
107	<b>Demand for Bank Services and Market Power in Brazilian Banking</b> Márcio I. Nakane, Leonardo S. Alencar and Fabio Kanczuk	Jun/2006
108	O Efeito da Consignação em Folha nas Taxas de Juros dos Empréstimos Pessoais Eduardo A. S. Rodrigues, Victorio Chu, Leonardo S. Alencar e Tony Takeda	Jun/2006
109	<b>The Recent Brazilian Disinflation Process and Costs</b> <i>Alexandre A. Tombini and Sergio A. Lago Alves</i>	Jun/2006
110	<b>Fatores de Risco e o Spread Bancário no Brasil</b> Fernando G. Bignotto e Eduardo Augusto de Souza Rodrigues	Jul/2006
111	Avaliação de Modelos de Exigência de Capital para Risco de Mercado do Cupom Cambial Alan Cosme Rodrigues da Silva, João Maurício de Souza Moreira e Myrian Beatriz Eiras das Neves	Jul/2006
112	<b>Interdependence and Contagion: an Analysis of Information</b> <b>Transmission in Latin America's Stock Markets</b> <i>Angelo Marsiglia Fasolo</i>	Jul/2006
113	<b>Investigação da Memória de Longo Prazo da Taxa de Câmbio no Brasil</b> Sergio Rubens Stancato de Souza, Benjamin Miranda Tabak e Daniel O. Cajueiro	Ago/2006
114	<b>The Inequality Channel of Monetary Transmission</b> Marta Areosa and Waldyr Areosa	Aug/2006
115	Myopic Loss Aversion and House-Money Effect Overseas: an Experimental Approach José L. B. Fernandes, Juan Ignacio Peña and Benjamin M. Tabak	Sep/2006
116	<b>Out-Of-The-Money Monte Carlo Simulation Option Pricing: the Join</b> <b>Use of Importance Sampling and Descriptive Sampling</b> <i>Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins, Eduardo Saliby and Joséte Florencio dos</i> <i>Santos</i>	Sep/2006
117	An Analysis of Off-Site Supervision of Banks' Profitability, Risk and Capital Adequacy: a Portfolio Simulation Approach Applied to Brazilian Banks Theodore M. Barnhill, Marcos R. Souto and Benjamin M. Tabak	Sep/2006
118	<b>Contagion, Bankruptcy and Social Welfare Analysis in a Financial</b> <b>Economy with Risk Regulation Constraint</b> <i>Aloísio P. Araújo and José Valentim M. Vicente</i>	Oct/2006

119	A Central de Risco de Crédito no Brasil: uma Análise de Utilidade de Informação Ricardo Schechtman	Out/2006
120	Forecasting Interest Rates: an Application for Brazil Eduardo J. A. Lima, Felipe Luduvice and Benjamin M. Tabak	Oct/2006
121	<b>The Role of Consumer's Risk Aversion on Price Rigidity</b> Sergio A. Lago Alves and Mirta N. S. Bugarin	Nov/2006
122	Nonlinear Mechanisms of the Exchange Rate Pass-Through: a Phillips Curve Model With Threshold for Brazil Arnildo da Silva Correa and André Minella	Nov/2006
123	A Neoclassical Analysis of the Brazilian "Lost-Decades" Flávia Mourão Graminho	Nov/2006
124	<b>The Dynamic Relations between Stock Prices and Exchange Rates:</b> <b>Evidence for Brazil</b> <i>Benjamin M. Tabak</i>	Nov/2006
125	Herding Behavior by Equity Foreign Investors on Emerging Markets Barbara Alemanni and José Renato Haas Ornelas	Dec/2006
126	<b>Risk Premium: Insights over the Threshold</b> José L. B. Fernandes, Augusto Hasman and Juan Ignacio Peña	Dec/2006
127	Uma Investigação Baseada em Reamostragem sobre Requerimentos de Capital para Risco de Crédito no Brasil Ricardo Schechtman	Dec/2006
128	<b>Term Structure Movements Implicit in Option Prices</b> <i>Caio Ibsen R. Almeida and José Valentim M. Vicente</i>	Dec/2006
129	<b>Brazil: Taming Inflation Expectations</b> Afonso S. Bevilaqua, Mário Mesquita and André Minella	Jan/2007
130	<b>The Role of Banks in the Brazilian Interbank Market: Does Bank Type Matter?</b> <i>Daniel O. Cajueiro and Benjamin M. Tabak</i>	Jan/2007
131	Long-Range Dependence in Exchange Rates: the Case of the European Monetary System Sergio Rubens Stancato de Souza, Benjamin M. Tabak and Daniel O. Cajueiro	Mar/2007
132	<b>Credit Risk Monte Carlo Simulation Using Simplified Creditmetrics'</b> <b>Model: the Joint Use of Importance Sampling and Descriptive Sampling</b> <i>Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins and Eduardo Saliby</i>	Mar/2007
133	<b>A New Proposal for Collection and Generation of Information on</b> <b>Financial Institutions' Risk: the Case of Derivatives</b> <i>Gilneu F. A. Vivan and Benjamin M. Tabak</i>	Mar/2007
134	Amostragem Descritiva no Apreçamento de Opções Européias através de Simulação Monte Carlo: o Efeito da Dimensionalidade e da Probabilidade de Exercício no Ganho de Precisão Eduardo Saliby, Sergio Luiz Medeiros Proença de Gouvêa e Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins	Abr/2007

135	<b>Evaluation of Default Risk for the Brazilian Banking Sector</b> <i>Marcelo Y. Takami and Benjamin M. Tabak</i>	May/2007
136	Identifying Volatility Risk Premium from Fixed Income Asian Options Caio Ibsen R. Almeida and José Valentim M. Vicente	May/2007
137	Monetary Policy Design under Competing Models of Inflation Persistence Solange Gouvea e Abhijit Sen Gupta	May/2007
138	<b>Forecasting Exchange Rate Density Using Parametric Models:</b> <b>the Case of Brazil</b> <i>Marcos M. Abe, Eui J. Chang and Benjamin M. Tabak</i>	May/2007
139	Selection of Optimal Lag Length inCointegrated VAR Models with Weak Form of Common Cyclical Features Carlos Enrique Carrasco Gutiérrez, Reinaldo Castro Souza and Osmani Teixeira de Carvalho Guillén	Jun/2007
140	Inflation Targeting, Credibility and Confidence Crises Rafael Santos and Aloísio Araújo	Aug/2007
141	<b>Forecasting Bonds Yields in the Brazilian Fixed income Market</b> Jose Vicente and Benjamin M. Tabak	Aug/2007
142	<b>Crises Análise da Coerência de Medidas de Risco no Mercado Brasileiro de Ações e Desenvolvimento de uma Metodologia Híbrida para o Expected Shortfall</b> Alan Cosme Rodrigues da Silva, Eduardo Facó Lemgruber, José Alberto Rebello Baranowski e Renato da Silva Carvalho	Ago/2007
143	<b>Price Rigidity in Brazil: Evidence from CPI Micro Data</b> Solange Gouvea	Sep/2007
144	<b>The Effect of Bid-Ask Prices on Brazilian Options Implied Volatility: a</b> <b>Case Study of Telemar Call Options</b> <i>Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo and Eduardo Facó Lemgruber</i>	Oct/2007
145	<b>The Stability-Concentration Relationship in the Brazilian Banking</b> <b>System</b> <i>Benjamin Miranda Tabak, Solange Maria Guerra, Eduardo José Araújo</i> <i>Lima and Eui Jung Chang</i>	Oct/2007
146	Movimentos da Estrutura a Termo e Critérios de Minimização do Erro de Previsão em um Modelo Paramétrico Exponencial Caio Almeida, Romeu Gomes, André Leite e José Vicente	Out/2007
147	<b>Explaining Bank Failures in Brazil: Micro, Macro and Contagion Effects</b> (1994-1998) Adriana Soares Sales and Maria Eduarda Tannuri-Pianto	Oct/2007
148	<b>Um Modelo de Fatores Latentes com Variáveis Macroeconômicas para a Curva de Cupom Cambial</b> <i>Felipe Pinheiro, Caio Almeida e José Vicente</i>	Out/2007
149	Joint Validation of Credit Rating PDs under Default Correlation Ricardo Schechtman	Oct/2007

150	A Probabilistic Approach for Assessing the Significance of Contextual Variables in Nonparametric Frontier Models: an Application for Brazilian Banks Roberta Blass Staub and Geraldo da Silva e Souza	Oct/2007
151	<b>Building Confidence Intervals with Block Bootstraps for the Variance</b> <b>Ratio Test of Predictability</b> <i>Eduardo José Araújo Lima and Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Nov/2007
152	<b>Demand for Foreign Exchange Derivatives in Brazil:</b> <b>Hedge or Speculation?</b> <i>Fernando N. de Oliveira and Walter Novaes</i>	Dec/2007
153	Aplicação da Amostragem por Importância à Simulação de Opções Asiáticas Fora do Dinheiro Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins	Dez/2007
154	<b>Identification of Monetary Policy Shocks in the Brazilian Market</b> <b>for Bank Reserves</b> <i>Adriana Soares Sales and Maria Tannuri-Pianto</i>	Dec/2007
155	<b>Does Curvature Enhance Forecasting?</b> <i>Caio Almeida, Romeu Gomes, André Leite and José Vicente</i>	Dec/2007
156	Escolha do Banco e Demanda por Empréstimos: um Modelo de Decisão em Duas Etapas Aplicado para o Brasil Sérgio Mikio Koyama e Márcio I. Nakane	Dez/2007
157	Is the Investment-Uncertainty Link Really Elusive? The Harmful Effects of Inflation Uncertainty in Brazil <i>Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva Filho</i>	Jan/2008
158	<b>Characterizing the Brazilian Term Structure of Interest Rates</b> Osmani T. Guillen and Benjamin M. Tabak	Feb/2008
159	<b>Behavior and Effects of Equity Foreign Investors on Emerging Markets</b> <i>Barbara Alemanni and José Renato Haas Ornelas</i>	Feb/2008
160	<b>The Incidence of Reserve Requirements in Brazil: Do Bank Stockholders</b> <b>Share the Burden?</b> <i>Fábia A. de Carvalho and Cyntia F. Azevedo</i>	Feb/2008
161	<b>Evaluating Value-at-Risk Models via Quantile Regressions</b> Wagner P. Gaglianone, Luiz Renato Lima and Oliver Linton	Feb/2008
162	<b>Balance Sheet Effects in Currency Crises: Evidence from Brazil</b> Marcio M. Janot, Márcio G. P. Garcia and Walter Novaes	Apr/2008
163	Searching for the Natural Rate of Unemployment in a Large Relative Price Shocks' Economy: the Brazilian Case Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva Filho	May/2008
164	<b>Foreign Banks' Entry and Departure: the recent Brazilian experience</b> (1996-2006) <i>Pedro Fachada</i>	Jun/2008
165	Avaliação de Opções de Troca e Opções de Spread Européias e Americanas Giuliano Carrozza Uzêda Iorio de Souza, Carlos Patrício Samanez e Gustavo Santos Raposo	Jul/2008

166	<b>Testing Hyperinflation Theories Using the Inflation Tax Curve: a case study</b> <i>Fernando de Holanda Barbosa and Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva Filho</i>	Jul/2008
167	O Poder Discriminante das Operações de Crédito das Instituições Financeiras Brasileiras Clodoaldo Aparecido Annibal	Jul/2008
168	An Integrated Model for Liquidity Management and Short-Term Asset Allocation in Commercial Banks Wenersamy Ramos de Alcântara	Jul/2008
169	Mensuração do Risco Sistêmico no Setor Bancário com Variáveis Contábeis e Econômicas Lucio Rodrigues Capelletto, Eliseu Martins e Luiz João Corrar	Jul/2008
170	Política de Fechamento de Bancos com Regulador Não-Benevolente: Resumo e Aplicação Adriana Soares Sales	Jul/2008
171	Modelos para a Utilização das Operações de Redesconto pelos Bancos com Carteira Comercial no Brasil Sérgio Mikio Koyama e Márcio Issao Nakane	Ago/2008
172	<b>Combining Hodrick-Prescott Filtering with a Production Function</b> <b>Approach to Estimate Output Gap</b> <i>Marta Areosa</i>	Aug/2008
173	<b>Exchange Rate Dynamics and the Relationship between the Random</b> <b>Walk Hypothesis and Official Interventions</b> <i>Eduardo José Araújo Lima and Benjamin Miranda Tabak</i>	Aug/2008
174	Foreign Exchange Market Volatility Information: an investigation of real-dollar exchange rate Frederico Pechir Gomes, Marcelo Yoshio Takami and Vinicius Ratton Brandi	Aug/2008
175	<b>Evaluating Asset Pricing Models in a Fama-French Framework</b> Carlos Enrique Carrasco Gutierrez and Wagner Piazza Gaglianone	Dec/2008
176	Fiat Money and the Value of Binding Portfolio Constraints Mário R. Páscoa, Myrian Petrassi and Juan Pablo Torres-Martínez	Dec/2008
177	<b>Preference for Flexibility and Bayesian Updating</b> <i>Gil Riella</i>	Dec/2008
178	An Econometric Contribution to the Intertemporal Approach of the Current Account Wagner Piazza Gaglianone and João Victor Issler	Dec/2008
179	Are Interest Rate Options Important for the Assessment of Interest Rate Risk? Caio Almeida and José Vicente	Dec/2008
180	A Class of Incomplete and Ambiguity Averse Preferences Leandro Nascimento and Gil Riella	Dec/2008
181	Monetary Channels in Brazil through the Lens of a Semi-Structural Model André Minella and Nelson F. Souza-Sobrinho	Apr/2009

182	Avaliação de Opções Americanas com Barreiras Monitoradas de Forma Discreta Giuliano Carrozza Uzêda Iorio de Souza e Carlos Patrício Samanez	Abr/2009
183	Ganhos da Globalização do Capital Acionário em Crises Cambiais Marcio Janot e Walter Novaes	Abr/2009
184	<b>Behavior Finance and Estimation Risk in Stochastic Portfolio</b> <b>Optimization</b> <i>José Luiz Barros Fernandes, Juan Ignacio Peña and Benjamin</i> <i>Miranda Tabak</i>	Apr/2009
185	Market Forecasts in Brazil: performance and determinants Fabia A. de Carvalho and André Minella	Apr/2009
186	<b>Previsão da Curva de Juros: um modelo estatístico com variáveis macroeconômicas</b> André Luís Leite, Romeu Braz Pereira Gomes Filho e José Valentim Machado Vicente	Maio/2009
187	The Influence of Collateral on Capital Requirements in the Brazilian Financial System: an approach through historical average and logistic regression on probability of default Alan Cosme Rodrigues da Silva, Antônio Carlos Magalhães da Silva, Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins, Myrian Beatriz Eiras da Neves and Giovani Antonio Silva Brito	Jun/2009
188	<b>Pricing Asian Interest Rate Options with a Three-Factor HJM Model</b> Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo, José Valentim Machado Vicente and Octávio Manuel Bessada Lion	Jun/2009
189	Linking Financial and Macroeconomic Factors to Credit Risk Indicators of Brazilian Banks Marcos Souto, Benjamin M. Tabak and Francisco Vazquez	Jul/2009
190	<b>Concentração Bancária, Lucratividade e Risco Sistêmico: uma abordagem de contágio indireto</b> <i>Bruno Silva Martins e Leonardo S. Alencar</i>	Set/2009
191	<b>Concentração e Inadimplência nas Carteiras de Empréstimos dos Bancos Brasileiros</b> <i>Patricia L. Tecles, Benjamin M. Tabak e Roberta B. Staub</i>	Set/2009
192	Inadimplência do Setor Bancário Brasileiro: uma avaliação de suas medidas Clodoaldo Aparecido Annibal	Set/2009
193	<i>Loss Given Default</i> : um estudo sobre perdas em operações prefixadas no mercado brasileiro Antonio Carlos Magalhães da Silva, Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins e Myrian Beatriz Eiras das Neves	Set/2009
194	<b>Testes de Contágio entre Sistemas Bancários – A crise do subprime</b> Benjamin M. Tabak e Manuela M. de Souza	Set/2009
195	From Default Rates to Default Matrices: a complete measurement of Brazilian banks' consumer credit delinquency Ricardo Schechtman	Oct/2009

196	<b>The role of macroeconomic variables in sovereign risk</b> Marco S. Matsumura and José Valentim Vicente	Oct/2009
197	Forecasting the Yield Curve for Brazil Daniel O. Cajueiro, Jose A. Divino and Benjamin M. Tabak	Nov/2009
198	Impacto dos Swaps Cambiais na Curva de Cupom Cambial: uma análise segundo a regressão de componentes principais Alessandra Pasqualina Viola, Margarida Sarmiento Gutierrez, Octávio Bessada Lion e Cláudio Henrique Barbedo	Nov/2009
199	<b>Delegated Portfolio Management and Risk Taking Behavior</b> José Luiz Barros Fernandes, Juan Ignacio Peña and Benjamin Miranda Tabak	Dec/2009
200	<b>Evolution of Bank Efficiency in Brazil: A DEA Approach</b> <i>Roberta B. Staub, Geraldo Souza and Benjamin M. Tabak</i>	Dec/2009
201	<b>Efeitos da Globalização na Inflação Brasileira</b> Rafael Santos e Márcia S. Leon	Jan/2010
202	<b>Considerações sobre a Atuação do Banco Central na Crise de 2008</b> Mário Mesquita e Mario Torós	Mar/2010
203	Hiato do Produto e PIB no Brasil: uma Análise de Dados em Tempo Real Rafael Tiecher Cusinato, André Minella e Sabino da Silva Pôrto Júnior	Abr/2010
204	Fiscal and monetary policy interaction: a simulation based analysis of a two-country New Keynesian DSGE model with heterogeneous households Marcos Valli and Fabia A. de Carvalho	Apr/2010
205	Model selection, estimation and forecasting in VAR models with short-run and long-run restrictions George Athanasopoulos, Osmani Teixeira de Carvalho Guillén, João Victor Issler and Farshid Vahid	Apr/2010
206	<b>Fluctuation Dynamics in US interest rates and the role of monetary policy</b> Daniel Oliveira Cajueiro and Benjamin M. Tabak	Apr/2010
207	<b>Brazilian Strategy for Managing the Risk of Foreign Exchange Rate</b> <b>Exposure During a Crisis</b> <i>Antonio Francisco A. Silva Jr.</i>	Apr/2010
208	<b>Correlação de <i>default</i>: uma investigação empírica de créditos de varejo no Brasil</b> Antonio Carlos Magalhães da Silva, Arnildo da Silva Correa, Jaqueline Terra Moura Marins e Myrian Beatriz Eiras das Neves	Maio/2010
209	<b>Produção Industrial no Brasil: uma análise de dados em tempo real</b> Rafael Tiecher Cusinato, André Minella e Sabino da Silva Pôrto Júnior	Maio/2010
210	<b>Determinants of Bank Efficiency: the case of Brazil</b> Patricia Tecles and Benjamin M. Tabak	May/2010

211	<b>Pessimistic Foreign Investors and Turmoil in Emerging Markets: the case of Brazil in 2002</b> Sandro C. Andrade and Emanuel Kohlscheen	Aug/2010
212	<b>The Natural Rate of Unemployment in Brazil, Chile, Colombia and Venezuela: some results and challenges</b> <i>Tito Nícias Teixeira da Silva</i>	Sep/2010
213	<b>Estimation of Economic Capital Concerning Operational Risk in a Brazilian banking industry case</b> Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Délio José Cordeiro Galvão and Renato Falci Villela Loures	Oct/2010
214	<b>Do Inflation-linked Bonds Contain Information about Future Inflation?</b> José Valentim Machado Vicente and Osmani Teixeira de Carvalho Guillen	Oct/2010
215	<b>The Effects of Loan Portfolio Concentration on Brazilian Banks' Return</b> <b>and Risk</b> <i>Benjamin M. Tabak, Dimas M. Fazio and Daniel O. Cajueiro</i>	Oct/2010
216	<b>Cyclical Effects of Bank Capital Buffers with Imperfect Credit Markets:</b> <b>international evidence</b> <i>A.R. Fonseca, F. González and L. Pereira da Silva</i>	Oct/2010
217	<b>Financial Stability and Monetary Policy – The case of Brazil</b> Benjamin M. Tabak, Marcela T. Laiz and Daniel O. Cajueiro	Oct/2010
218	<b>The Role of Interest Rates in the Brazilian Business Cycles</b> Nelson F. Souza-Sobrinho	Oct/2010
219	<b>The Brazilian Interbank Network Structure and Systemic Risk</b> Edson Bastos e Santos and Rama Cont	Oct/2010
220	Eficiência Bancária e Inadimplência: testes de Causalidade Benjamin M. Tabak, Giovana L. Craveiro e Daniel O. Cajueiro	Out/2010
221	<b>Financial Instability and Credit Constraint: evidence from the cost of bank financing</b> <i>Bruno S. Martins</i>	Nov/2010
222	<b>O Comportamento Cíclico do Capital dos Bancos Brasileiros</b> <i>R. A. Ferreira, A. C. Noronha, B. M. Tabak e D. O. Cajueiro</i>	Nov/2010
223	Forecasting the Yield Curve with Linear Factor Models Marco Shinobu Matsumura, Ajax Reynaldo Bello Moreira and José Valentim Machado Vicente	Nov/2010
224	<b>Emerging Floaters: pass-throughs and (some) new commodity currencies</b> <i>Emanuel Kohlscheen</i>	Nov/2010
225	<b>Expectativas Inflacionárias e Inflação Implícita no Mercado Brasileiro</b> Flávio de Freitas Val, Claudio Henrique da Silveira Barbedo e Marcelo Verdini Maia	Nov/2010
226	A Macro Stress Test Model of Credit Risk for the Brazilian Banking Sector Francisco Vazquez, Benjamin M.Tabak and Marcos Souto	Nov/2010

227	<b>Uma Nota sobre Erros de Previsão da Inflação de Curto Prazo</b> <i>Emanuel Kohlscheen</i>	Nov/2010
228	<b>Forecasting Brazilian Inflation Using a Large Data Set</b> <i>Francisco Marcos Rodrigues Figueiredo</i>	Dec/2010
229	<b>Financial Fragility in a General Equilibrium Model: the Brazilian case</b> <i>Benjamin M. Tabak, Daniel O. Cajueiro and Dimas M. Fazio</i>	Dec/2010
230	<b>Is Inflation Persistence Over?</b> <i>Fernando N. de Oliveira and Myrian Petrassi</i>	Dec/2010
231	<b>Capital Requirements and Business Cycles with Credit Market</b> <b>Imperfections</b> <i>P. R. Agénor, K. Alper and L. Pereira da Silva</i>	Jan/2011