XIV Seminário Anual de Metas para a Inflação

Contagion in CDS, Banking and Equity Markets

Rodrigo C. Miranda Benjamin M. Tabak Mauricio Medeiros Jr.

DISCLAIMER: The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Central Bank of Brazil.



Outline of the Presentation

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Contribution
- 3. Data
- 4. Methodology
- 5. Empirical results
- 6. Conclusions

Contagion in CDS, Bank and Equity Markets Motivation Recent financial crises had their effects spread to most of the world The Global Financial Crisis •From the collapse of the subprime market in the USA to a world-wide crisis •The European Sovereign Debt Crisis •From the fiscal problems of the Greek government to most of the world •The study of contagion and the volatility of worldwide financial markets are important for regulatory authorities because of their impact on

•Most of the contagion testing frameworks rely on crisis dates, but such dates are usually defined exogenously from the test models.

Financial Stability



Main contributions:

- 1. Development of a procedure for endogenous contagion testing defining the timing of the crisis;
- 2. Show an application of the procedure

Data

• We tested for contagion across three channels:

 The banking system: *Data Stream Bank Sector index*
 Country equity market: *MSCI standard country index*
 CDS spreads: *Thomson Reuters Sovereign CDS (5y)*

•The period analyzed is from January 2006 to October 2011. Subprime crisis period: January 2008 to December 2009; European sovereign debt crisis period: December 2009 to October 2011.

•Exogenous variables:

- Daily US Federal Funds Rate
- Commodities CRB Total Return index.

Testing for contagion

•Three tests for contagion

Correlation-Based
 FR statistic
 Coskewness-based
 CS1 and CS2 statistics

•Correlation contagion:

•If the prices of market *i* falls, the prices of market *j* also fall in a way that is more correlated to j than expected.

•Coskewness contagion:

Risk-averse agents prefer positive skewness (Kraus and Litzeberger, 76)
In a crisis coskewness of *i* and *j* will increase more than expected as risk averse agents <u>trade-off</u> lower returns for positive skewness

Methodology – Correlation FR test

•The correlation-based testing for contagion used follows the proposal by Forbes and Rigobon (2002) as modified by Fry et al. (2008).

$$FR(i \rightarrow j) = \left(\frac{\upsilon_c - \rho_{pre}}{\sqrt{Var(\upsilon_c - \rho_{pre})}}\right)^2 \qquad \upsilon_c = \frac{\rho_c}{\sqrt{1 + \delta(1 + \rho_c^2)}} \qquad \delta = \frac{s_{c,i}^2 - s_{pre,i}^2}{s_{c,i}^2}$$

•Where:

 v_c = The correlation of the crisis period adjusted for the greater volatility of the crisis period;

 $\rho_{\rm c}$ and $\rho_{\rm pre}$ = The correlations between i and jin the crisis and pre-crisis periods;

 $s_{c,i}^2$ and s_{pre}^i = The variances of i in the crisis and pre - crisis periods respectively;

 T_c and T_{pre} = The number of observations in the crisis period and the number of observation in the pre - crisis period.

•Under the null hypothesis of no contagion, the two-tailed adjusted correlation test is asymptotically distributed χ_1^2 .

•This paper only concerns itself with increases in correlations, therefore the test indicates contagion only if $FR(i \rightarrow j)$ is greater than some critical value in χ_1^2 and $\nu_c > \rho_{pre}$.

Methodology – Coskewness - CS1 and CS2 tests

•The coskewness tests for contagion proposed by Fry et al. (2008).

oThis test identifies contagion from the value of *i* to the volatility of *j* (CS1 test) and from the volatility of *i* to the value of *j* (CS2 test).

•The tests are described as:

$$CS_{1}(i \rightarrow j; i^{1}, j^{2}) = \left(\frac{\psi_{c}(i^{1}, j^{2}) - \psi_{pre}(i^{1}, j^{2})}{\sqrt{\frac{4\upsilon_{c} + 2}{T_{c}} + \frac{4\rho_{pre}^{2} + 2}{T_{pre}}}}\right)^{2}$$
$$CS_{2}(i \rightarrow j; i^{2}, j^{1}) = \left(\frac{\psi_{c}(i^{2}, j^{1}) - \psi_{pre}(i^{2}, j^{1})}{\sqrt{\frac{4\upsilon_{c} + 2}{T_{c}} + \frac{4\rho_{pre}^{2} + 2}{T_{pre}}}}\right)^{2}$$

Methodology –Coskewness

•Where:

$$\psi_{c}\left(i^{m}, j^{n}\right) = \frac{1}{T_{c}} \sum_{t=1}^{T_{c}} \left(\frac{i_{t} - \hat{\mu}_{c,i}}{\hat{\sigma}_{c,i}}\right)^{m} \left(\frac{j_{t} - \hat{\mu}_{c,j}}{\hat{\sigma}_{c,j}}\right)^{n};$$

$$\psi_{pre}\left(i^{m}, j^{n}\right) = \frac{1}{T_{pre}} \sum_{t=1}^{T_{pre}} \left(\frac{i_{t} - \hat{\mu}_{pre,i}}{\hat{\sigma}_{pre,i}}\right)^{m} \left(\frac{j_{t} - \hat{\mu}_{pre,j}}{\hat{\sigma}_{pre,j}}\right)^{n}$$

 $\mu_{T,k}$ = The mean of k in period T; $\sigma_{T,k}$ = The standard deviation of k in T, where T can be either T_c ou T_{pre} and k can be either i or j.

•Under the null hypothesis of no contagion, the two-tailed adjusted correlation test is asymptotically distributed χ_1^2 .

Methodology – Endogenous tests



•For each pair of markets *i* and *j*, in each channel (banking system, equity, CDS):

We define test windows of fixed length over the entire period
Each window consists of a pre-crisis period and a crisis period.
In our model the pre-crisis periods are 24 months in length
We did the contagion tests with crisis periods of 4, 6 and 8 months in length

•We estimate a VAR of the source and destination market in each period (crisis and pre-crisis);

 We control it for the pre-defined exogenous variables (US Federal Funds rate and Commodities index);

• Each VAR was calculated with a fixed lag of 5 observations in order to eliminate residual autocorrelation.

•The residuals of the crisis period *VAR* is then tested against the residuals of the pre-crisis period *VAR* using the three statistics: *FR, CS1* and *CS2*.



Methodology – Endogenous tests

•The result is a set of statistics for that particular test instance $T_{i,j,D,W,L}$;



oWhere i is the source market, j the destination market, D the channel being tested, W the period window, and L the crisis window length.

•A test instance is said to be an instance of contagion if the following conditions are met:

oThe volatility of the crisis period residuals must be greater than that of the precrisis period;

oThe correlation or coskewness of the crisis period residuals must be greater than that of the pre-crisis period;

The tests results (*FR*, *CS1* and *CS2*) must be greater than a critical value.

Empirical Results – Countries affected by contagion

Global Financial Crisis					
	FR	CS1	CS2	Start	End
Banking Sector	23%	81%	96%	01/2008	03/2009
MSCI equity	12%	92%	88%	02/2008	02/2009

European Sovereign Debt Crisis - 1st Period

	FR	CS1	CS2	Start	End
Banking Sector	41%	73%	86%	12/2009	05/2010
MSCI equity	24%	68%	87%	12/2009	05/2010
CDS	41% -	-		01/2010	06/2010

European Sovereign Debt Crisis - 1st Period

	FR	CS1	CS2	Start	End
Banking Sector	39%	88%	96%	12/2010	10/2011
MSCI equity	37%	85%	84%	12/2010	10/2011
CDS	20% -	-		08/2011	10/2011



Empirical results – Global Financial Crisis – Banking Sector

•Contagion to the Banking sector was pervasive in the subprime crisis

•Stronger in te beggining of 2008 and then after September 2008

•Almost every country in the sample was affected by contagion





Feb 10, 2008: G7 announces that losses due to subprime market collapse count reach \$400 billions

Mar 7, 2008: The US Federal Reserve inject \$200bn

Mar 16, 2008: JP Morgan acquires Bear Sterns

Sep 15, 2008: Lehman Brothers files for bankrupcy

Oct 2, 2008: US Congress approves \$700bn TARP (bailout)

Oct 8, 2008: Fed, the BoE and the ECB all cut half a point off their key interest rates

Mar 3, 2009: The U.S. Treasury Department and the Federal Reserve Board announce the launch of the Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility (TALF).





Empirical results – Contagion to Brazil – European Sovereign Debt Crisis

... And also in the CDS spreads...





Contagion to Brazilian CDS spreads was detected in the first and second quarter of 2010, the strongest around early May

In the case of CDS spreads, the contagion to Brazil was from Greek and Italian CDS spreads, following the spike in early May



•We have develop an approach to define the timing of the contagion in a financial crisis through endogenous testing.

•Our results show that contagion has been pervasive in the *Global Financial Crisis* and in *European Sovereign Debt Crisis*, and the timing that we obtained is consistent with general consensus over each crisis's dating.

The tests proposed are an additional tool for regulators and policy makers to assess the effectiveness of their policies and the communication of their actions.



- •Finding the periods of contagion
- •Can be used as an additional tool to assess the effectiveness of public policy
- •The method can be extended to intraday data for real-time assessment









Empirical results – European Sovereign Debt Crisis – CDS spreads

•There was contagion to the CDS spreads

Our tests found correlation contagion in the CDS spreads (*FR* statistic)
There is a spike in CDS spreads in May 2010, and in April 2011 spreads start to rise sharply, specially Greece's.Italy's and Spain's rise in the second half of 2011 to almost 5 times their December 2008 spreads.



Aug 2011: Yields in Italian and Spanish bonds rise sharply, CDS spreads also rise

Sep 2011: Italian debt is downgrades

Oct 2011: 5y CDS spreads are about their highest since December/2011 (except for Ireland)